



LANE REGIONAL AIR PROTECTION AGENCY (LRAPA) TITLE V OPERATING PERMIT REVIEW REPORT

REVIEW REPORT

SFPP, L.P. Eugene Terminal

1765 Prairie Road

Eugene, Oregon 97402

Website: <https://www.kindermorgan.com/>

Permit No. 207506

Source Information:

| | |
|------------------------|--------|
| Primary SIC | 4226 |
| Secondary SIC | -- |
| Primary NAICS | 493190 |
| Secondary NAICS | -- |
| Public Notice Category | III |

| | |
|--|---|
| Source Category (Title 37, Table 1: Part and Code) | B.31 Gasoline bulk plants, bulk terminals, and pipeline facilities. C.4 All sources that request a PSEL equal or greater than the SER for a regulated pollutant. C.5 All sources having the potential to emit more than 100 tons or more of any regulated pollutant, except GHG, in a year. |
|--|---|

Compliance and Emissions Monitoring Requirements:

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| Unassigned Emissions | N |
| Emission Credits | N |
| Compliance Schedule | N |
| Source Test Date(s) | See Permit |

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| COMS | N |
| CEMS | N |
| CPMS | Y |
| Ambient monitoring | N |

Reporting Requirements

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Annual Report (due date) | March 15 |
| Emission fee report (due date) | March 15 |
| SACC (due date) | March 15 August 15 |
| Greenhouse Gas (due date) | March 31 |

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Monthly Report (due dates) | N |
| Quarterly Report (due dates) | N |
| Excess Emissions Report | Immediately |
| Other Reports | N |

Air Programs

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| NSPS (list subparts) | A, K, Kb, XX |
| NESHAP (list subparts) | A, BBBBBB |
| CAM | Y |
| Regional Haze (RH) | N |
| Synthetic Minor (SM) | Y |
| SM-80 | Y |
| Title V | Y |
| Part 68 Risk Management | N |
| ACDP (SIP) | N |
| Major FHAP source | N |

| | |
|---|---|
| Federal major source | N |
| New Source Review (NSR) | N |
| Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) | Y |
| Acid Rain | N |
| Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) | N |
| TACT | N |
| >20 Megawatt | N |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS THAT MAY BE USED IN THIS REVIEW REPORT | 3 |
| INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| FACILITY DESCRIPTION | 4 |
| GENERAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION | 4 |
| EMISSIONS UNIT AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE IDENTIFICATION | 6 |
| AGGREGATE INSIGNIFICANT EMISSION UNITS | 9 |
| CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES | 10 |
| ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIO | 12 |
| EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS, TESTING, MONITORING, AND RECORDKEEPING | 12 |
| FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS | 14 |
| TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY (TRI) | 23 |
| PLANT SITE EMISSION LIMITS | 24 |
| SIGNIFICANT EMISSION RATE | 25 |
| UNASSIGNED EMISSIONS AND EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | 25 |
| HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS/TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS | 25 |
| TITLE V PERMIT CHANGE LOG | 26 |
| MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 29 |
| GENERAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS | 30 |
| SOURCE TEST RESULTS | 30 |
| RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | 30 |
| REPORTING REQUIREMENTS | 30 |
| COMPLIANCE HISTORY | 31 |
| PUBLIC NOTICE | 31 |
| EPA REVIEW | 31 |
| DETAIL SHEETS AND CALCULATION TABLES | 32 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS THAT MAY BE USED IN THIS REVIEW REPORT

| | | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| ACDP | Air Contaminant Discharge Permit | mm Hg | Millimeter of mercury |
| Act | Federal Clean Air Act | m ³ | Cubic meter |
| ASTM | American Society of Testing and Materials | MSDS | Material Safety Data Sheets |
| BER | Baseline Emission Rate | NA | Not applicable |
| Btu | British thermal unit | NO _x | Nitrogen oxides |
| CAAA | Clean Air Act Amendment | NESHAP | National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutant |
| CAM | Compliance Assurance Monitoring | NSPS | New Source Performance Standards |
| CAO | Cleaner Air Oregon | NSR | New Source Review |
| CEMs | Continuous emission monitoring system | O ₂ | Oxygen |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations | OAR | Oregon Administrative Rules |
| CO | Carbon Monoxide | ORS | Oregon Revised Statutes |
| CO ₂ | Carbon Dioxide | O&M | Operation and maintenance |
| CO ₂ e | Carbon Dioxide Equivalent | QIP | Quality Improvement Plan |
| CPMS | Continuous parameter monitoring system | Pb | Lead |
| DEQ | Department of Environmental Quality | PCD | Pollution Control Device |
| dscf | Dry standard cubic feet | PM | Particulate matter |
| EF | Emission factor | PM ₁₀ | Particulate matter less than 10 microns in size |
| EPA | US Environmental Protection Agency | PM _{2.5} | Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in size |
| ERC | Emission Reduction Credit | ppmv | Parts per million by volume |
| EU | Emissions Unit | ppm | Parts per million |
| °F | Degrees Fahrenheit | PSEL | Plant Site Emission Limit |
| FCAA | Federal Clean Air Act | psia | pounds per square inch, actual |
| FSA | Fuel sampling and analysis | RVP | Reid Vapor Pressure |
| Gal | Gallon | SCAQMD | South Coast Air Quality Management District |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas | SERP | Source emissions reduction plan |
| gpm | gallons per mile | SO ₂ | Sulfur dioxide |
| gr/dscf | Grain per dry standard cubic foot (1 pound = 7,000 grains) | ST | Source test |
| HAP | Hazardous Air Pollutant as defined by LRAPA Title 44 | THC | Total Hydrocarbons |
| ID | Identification number | TOC | Total Organic Compounds |
| I&M | Inspection and maintenance | TRI | Toxic Release Inventory |
| kPa | kiloPascal | VCS | Vapor Control System |
| l | Liter | VE | Visible emissions |
| lb | Pound | VMT | Vehicle miles traveled |
| LRAPA | Lane Regional Air Protection Agency | VOC | Volatile organic compounds |
| mg | milligram | VCU | Vapor Combustion Unit |
| M | 1,000 | | |
| MM | 1,000,000 | | |

INTRODUCTION

1. SFPP, L.P. – Eugene Terminal (“SFPP” or “the facility”) is an existing facility applying for a renewal of an existing Title V operating permit. SFPP submitted a timely and complete permit renewal application to LRAPA, and the existing permit remains in effect until the issuance of the renewed Title V operating permit. Upon issuance, the renewed Title V operating permit will be valid for five (5) years.
2. In accordance with OAR 340-218-0120(1)(f), this review report is intended to provide the legal and factual basis for the draft permit conditions. In most cases, the legal basis for a permit condition is included in the permit by citing the applicable regulation. In addition, the factual basis for the requirement may be the same as the legal basis. However, when the regulation is not specific and only provides general requirements, this review report is used to provide a more thorough explanation of the factual basis for the draft permit conditions.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

3. SFPP operates a bulk gasoline terminal under the primary SIC code 4226 – Special Warehousing and Storage, Not Elsewhere Classified (Petroleum bulk stations and terminals for hire) located at 1765 Prairie Road, Eugene, Oregon. The facility began operations in 1962. SFPP is a subsidiary of Kinder Morgan.
4. The facility is located in an area that is generally flat. The property to the north, west, and south of the facility is mixed industrial/commercial. To the east of the property is the railway and the Northwest Express Highway.

GENERAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5. SFPP has 42 bulk petroleum product storage tanks. Currently 35 storage tanks are in service, six (6) are currently out of service and one (1) tank (Tank: EG-07) is being utilized to house a vapor bladder as part of the control system for the loading racks. The fuel products stored are gasoline, diesel, and ethanol. The tank types include fixed roof, internal floating roof, and external floating roof. The 35 tanks currently in service consist of 12 vertical fixed roof tanks, 15 internal floating roof tanks (including one (1) domed external roof tank), and eight (8) external floating roof tanks. Emissions from the tanks are comprised of working/withdrawal losses, rim seal losses, and deck fitting/seam losses.

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| In Service Tanks | EG-01 – EG-05, EG-08 – EG-20, EG-22 – EG-26, EG-29 – EG-32, and EG-35 – EG-42 |
| Out of Service Tanks | EG-06, EG-07*, EG-21, EG-27, EG-28, EG-33, and EG-34 |

*This tank is used to house the vapor bladder for the VCU.

6. SFPP dispenses petroleum fuel products from the tanks into tanker trucks via loading racks. There are four (4) loading racks and one (1) unloading rack that consists of one (1) or more bays. Each bay is able to accommodate one tanker truck. Each storage tank is connected via underground and aboveground pipelines to a manifold where it can be directed to one or more of the four (4) loading racks or to another storage tank. Petroleum fuels are conveyed to a given loading rack and are pumped into a customer’s tanker truck via one (1) or more bottom-loading arms. The fuel products are blended with additives and oxygenates, as required, prior to being distributed into the individual tanker trucks.
7. The facility receives refined fuels from Portland via 8-inch pipeline and stored in tanks until it is transferred to tanker trucks. Some of the fuels are received via tanker truck. Denatured ethanol, gasoline and diesel additives are received by tanker trucks. Products received by tanker trucks are offloaded via the unloading rack. There is no processing of incoming materials that is performed at the facility, other than blending products prior to loading the fuel for distribution.
8. SFPP stores and transfers a variety of products including gasoline, diesel, transmix, ethanol (oxygenated), and proprietary customer fuel additives. The higher volatility products including gasoline, transmix (a combination

of gasoline and diesel), and denatured ethanol are stored in floating roof tanks (external and internal floating roofs) due to their higher vapor pressures. Diesel fuel can be stored in fixed-roof, external and internal floating roof tanks. The transmix is a mixture of different petroleum fuel products that are formed during the interface between products conveyed together in a pipeline or generated through normal maintenance and operations activities. This mixture is received at the terminal, stored in specified tanks, and transferred at the loading racks to trucks for offsite processing. Separation of the transmix constituents is not conducted at the facility.

9. SFPP has twelve small tanks on site used for fuel additives. There are eleven horizontal and one (1) vertical fuel additive tanks separate from the bulk storage tanks. The fuel additive tanks are below the storage capacity thresholds and are not subject to any federal regulation at this time.
10. The emissions from the tanks are caused by working, breathing/standing and rim seal/deck fitting losses, cleaning losses and for floating roofs, landing losses. Working losses occur during filling of the tank. Standing/breathing losses are evaporative losses as the product is stored in the tank. Deck fitting losses are a type of standing loss for floating roof tanks. As the product is stored vapor is lost from the rim seals/deck fittings of the tank and is primarily wind induced. Landing losses occur when using floating roof tanks. Usually, the roof floats on the surface of the liquid inside the floating roof tank and this reduces evaporative losses during routine operations. However, when the tank is emptied to the point that the roof lands on deck legs or hangers, there is a period where the roof is not floating, and other mechanisms contribute to emissions. These emissions continue until the tank is refilled to a sufficient level to again float the roof. Therefore, the emission estimation calculations are applicable each time there is a landing of the floating roof. Cleaning losses occur when the facility requires a tank to be cleaned. When a tank is going to be cleaned, the product is removed from the tank by normal pumpout and a forced ventilation of the vapors in the space between the roof, (fixed, internal floating or external floating type) is expelled to the atmosphere. Once the tank has been rendered clean and gas free it may remain in a clean condition for some period of time. While forced ventilation may continue there would be no further emissions in that there would be no remaining sources of vapors once the tank has been cleaned.
11. The facility controls the vapor emissions from the loading racks (EU: T-RACK) with a Vapor Combustion Unit (VCU) as the vapor control device. The VCU consists of an 80 MMBtu/hour, four (4) burner, air-assisted John Zink enclosed thermal oxidizer (enclosed flare) and a holding bladder that is located in Tank EG-07. The holding bladder helps to regulate the vapors recovered from the loading rack operations by collecting the vapor and then releasing the vapor at a steady rate to the VCU. Prior to the VCU being installed SFPP utilized a vapor refrigeration unit (VRU) for the control device. The VRU was decommissioned December 21, 2021, once the VCU became fully operational.
12. Support activities at the facility include an oil water separator, vaults, a holding pond, a water holding tank, nine (9) additive tanks (including one (1) tote), one (1) prover and two (2) sumps (main line and rack sumps) at their facility.
13. SFPP has requested a limit on single and combined HAPs to remain under the major source thresholds of ten (10) and 25 tons per year, respectively. The facility has the potential to emit over the major source thresholds for both single and combined HAPs and is considered a synthetic minor source.
14. SFPP is located inside the Eugene-Springfield Air Quality Management Area. The facility is located in an area that has been designated attainment/unclassified for PM_{2.5}, ozone (VOC), NO₂, SO₂, and Pb and a maintenance area for CO and PM₁₀. The facility is located within 100 kilometers of two (2) Class I air quality protection areas: Diamond Peak Wilderness and Three Sisters Wilderness area.
15. LRAPA has reviewed and issued the following permitting actions to this facility since the last Title V renewal:

| Date Approved | Permit Action Type | Description |
|---------------|---|--|
| 12/21/2015 | Addendum No. 1: "Simple" Minor Modification | Amended to increase the Oil Water Separator from 2,000,000 to 50,000,000 gallons per year and changing |

| Date Approved | Permit Action Type | Description |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | the emission factor from 5 lb/1,000 gallons of wastewater to 0.2 lb/1,000 gallons of wastewater: Approval to Construct - NC-207506-A15 |
| 2/5/2018 | Off-Permit Change | Installation of a new injection pump skid to Rack 3 (EU: TRACK) with a 5,000-gallon additive tank. |
| 4/3/2019 | Section 502(b)(10) | TV change notification for the temporary installation of two (2) portable storage tanks to provide for supplemental storage capacity while emergency tank work is being performed on Tank EG-14 |
| 4/12/2019 | Section 502(b)(10) | TV change notification for the temporary installation of two (2) additional portable storage tanks to provide for supplemental storage capacity while emergency tank work is being performed on Tank EG-14 |
| 1/14/2020 | Addendum No. 2: Administrative Permit Amendment | Amended to revise or amend the ownership name, the name of the site to the plant site location and added another responsible official title to the responsible official section, and the facility contact person title and phone number. |
| 1/9/2020 | Construction ACDP | Purposed installation of a thermal oxidizer (enclosed flare) (VCU) and decommission the VRU as the control device for EU: T-RACK and to convert Tank EG-7 to a vapor holding tank with holding vapor bladder. |
| 1/28/2020 | Addendum No. 3: "Significant Permit Modification | Incorporating the Construction ACDP for the installation of the thermal oxidizer (enclosed flare) (VCU) as the control device for emission unit TRACKs and converting Tank EG-7 to a vapor holding tank with holding vapor bladder. |
| 5/27/2020 | Addendum No. 4: Minor Permit Modification | Amended to revise Condition 23.b from the 15th day of each month to the 30th day of each month. |
| Upon Issuance | Title V Renewal | Total facility operating permit. |

EMISSIONS UNIT AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE IDENTIFICATION

16. The emissions units at this facility are the following:

| Emission Unit Description | EU ID | Pollution Control Device Description | PCD ID |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| Fixed roof storage tanks: EG-01, EG-02, EG-03, EG-04, EG-05, EG-08, EG-09, EG-10, EG-11, EG-12, EG-13 and EG-35 | FR | None | NA |
| Internal floating roof storage tanks: EG-14, EG-15, EG-16, EG-17, EG-18, EG-19, EG-20, EG-36, EG-37, EG-38, EG-39, EG-40, EG-41 and EG-42 | IFR | None | NA |
| External floating roof storage tanks: EG-22, EG-23, EG-24, EG-25, EG-26, EG-29, EG-30, EG-31 and EG-32 | EFR | None | NA |
| Tanker truck Loading Racks 1, 2, 3 and 4 and Unloading Rack 5 | T-RACK | Vapor Combustion Unit: (Enclosed Flare) | VCU |

| Emission Unit Description | EU ID | Pollution Control Device Description | PCD ID |
|---|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Ethanol Unloading ⁽¹⁾ | EtOH | None | NA |
| Fugitive VOC emissions from Flanges, Valves and Pumps | FGTVOC | None | NA |
| Tank Cleaning ⁽²⁾ | TC | None | NA |
| Water/Oil Separator, Vaults, & Holding Pond | OWS | None | NA |
| Sumps ⁽¹⁾ | SUMP | None | NA |
| Off-spec Unloading ⁽¹⁾ | OSU | None | NA |
| Aggregate Insignificant Activities: Roof Landing Losses ⁽²⁾ Prover Additive Tanks | AIA | None | NA |

(1) These emission units have been accounted for in the previous permit as 'aggregate insignificant activities' but are now identified as emissions units that emit more than 1 ton per year of VOC.

(2) New emissions points: EPA AP-42: Compilation of Air Emission Factors, Chapter 7.1 – Organic Liquid Storage Tanks, was amended March 2020, and now contains cleaning and landing losses calculations which must be included in the permit. Cleaning Losses were more than 1 ton per year of VOC so it has been designated as an emission unit. Roof Landing Losses were below the 1 ton per year and therefore are an aggregate insignificant activity.

(3) Paved roads and parking lots have been added as a categorically insignificant activity per title 12.

17. FR – Fixed Roof Storage Tanks: This emission unit represents all the fixed roof storage tanks existing at the permitted facility. This type of tank generally consists of a cylindrical steel shell with a permanently affixed roof, which may vary in design from cone (or dome-shaped) to flat. The Eugene Terminal houses a total of twelve (12) fixed roof storage tanks, all of which have a capacity of more than 39,000 gallons. All fixed roof storage tanks currently store volatile liquids with a vapor pressure less than 0.022 psia (0.1517 kPa). Fixed roof storage tank VOC emission are the sum of breathing losses and working losses, which are a function of physical/chemical properties of materials being stored at stored condition, as well as the physical design of tank itself. This holds true for all types of storage tanks, including EUs: IFR and EFR described below. Refer the Detail Sheets and Calculation Table section of the review report for individual tank specifications.
18. EFR – External Floating Roof Storage Tanks: This emission unit represents all external floating roof storage tanks existing at the terminal. There are eight (8) external floating roof tanks existing at the facility, with capacity ranging from 252,000 to 840,000 gallons. The external floating tanks can store volatile liquid with a vapor pressure equal to or greater than 0.022 psia (0.1517 kPa). All external floating roof storage tanks were installed on or before 1984. This type of tank generally consists of a cylindrical shell with an external floating roof that moves with respect to the stored liquid level. Tank EG-25 was modified in 2007/2008 as part of the B07 construction project to increase ethanol offloading and became subject to the NSPS requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb. Refer the Detail Sheets and Calculation Table section of the review report for individual tank specifications.
19. IFR – Internal Floating Roof Storage Tanks: This emissions unit represents all the internal floating roof storage tanks existing at the permitted facility. This type of tank has both a permanent fixed cone roof and a floating deck inside, which is free to move vertically as the liquid level rises and falls, and either floats on the liquid surface or rests on pontoons several inches above the liquid surface. The internal floating tanks can store volatile liquid with a vapor pressure equal to or greater than 0.022 psia (0.1517 kPa). The facility has a total of fourteen (14) internal floating roof tanks and one (1) domed internal floating roof tank. According to the permit application, there are three (3) internal floating roof tanks, (tanks EG-17, EG-18, and EG-19) constructed in 1973 that are subject to the NSPS requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart K. There are five (5) internal/external floating roof tanks (EG-16, EG-40, EG-41, and EG-42) constructed or modified during or after the year 1984

that are subject to the NSPS requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb. Tank EG-16 was modified in 2007/2008 as part of the B07 construction project to increase ethanol offloading and became subject to the NSPS requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb. Refer the Detail Sheets and Calculation Table section of the review report for individual tank specifications.

20. T-RACK – Tanker Truck/Trailer Loading and Unloading Racks: The emission unit represents the tank truck loading and unloading racks used to distribute various petroleum products. There are a total of four (4) loading racks at the facility with multiple bays for filling at each rack. Racks 1 through 4 were constructed in 1984 and are subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX. The loading racks (#1-4) are also subject to 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB, as they are considered an existing affected source as of January 2008. Racks 1 through 4 have the capability to inject ethanol into the gasoline when it is being loaded onto the tank trucks. Racks 1 and 2 have red-dye injectors for diesel fuel. Rack 5 was installed in 2008 for unloading ethanol from cargo tanker and is not 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX.
 - 20.a. Pollution Control Device (PCD): Vapor Combustion Unit (VCU): The VCU is a thermal oxidizer (enclosed flare) type vapor combustion unit that will control the hydrocarbon vapors from the loading racks. The VCU is a John Zink, 80 MMBtu/hour, 4 burner, air-assisted, temperature controlled enclosed flare that meets the mass emission limitation of 35 mg/L (0.292 lbs VOC/1,000 gallon). The designed inlet gas flow rate is 1,000 cfm. Tank EG-07 has been converted to a vapor holding tank to hold a vapor bladder. The vapor holder tank is equipped with alarms to prevent product loading from exceeding the capacity of the vapor bladder. The collected vapors are sent to the VCU for combustion. The combusted gaseous emissions were calculated using South Coast Air Quality Management District, Rule 1118, "Control of Emissions from Refinery Flares", adopted February 13, 1998 (amended July 7, 2017) and the assumption that the natural gas has an high heat value (HHV) of 1,028 Btu/scf.
21. EtOH – Ethanol Unloading: The emission unit EtOH represents the VOC emissions emitted during the unloading of ethanol by either tanker truck. Ethanol unloading is usually done at Rack #5 of the rack system. The VOC emissions are calculated using EPA AP-42, *Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*: Chapter 5 Petroleum Industry Section 5.2 Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids using the saturation factor of '1' for a submerged loading; dedicated vapor balance service from Table 5.2-1.
22. FGTVOC – Fugitive VOC emitting sources: The emissions unit FGTVOV represents fugitive VOC emissions associated with VOC containing product handling. The pipe transport systems contain numerous valves, flanges, pumps, sampling ports, and other components through which VOC vapors escape. For the purpose of estimating VOC emissions, emissions factors published in EPA's *Protocol for Equipment Leaks Emission Estimates, US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA-453/R-95-017, November 1995*, are used. Unless actual emission factors are available for use in the VOC emission calculations, the emission factors as listed in the Title V permit monitoring section should be used. The table below lists the number of each component currently existing at the facility:

| Component Name | Count: Number of Components "i" | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | Light* | Gas* |
| Valves | 448 | 793 |
| Pumps | 10 | 23 |
| Fittings (connectors and flanges) | 723 | 1,451 |

*Phases of the process stream: Light liquid – material in a liquid state and gas/vapor – material in a gaseous state.

23. TC – Tank Cleaning: The emission unit TC represents the expelled emissions from a tank during forced ventilation by eductors, fans or blowers through shell manhole, cleanout fitting or other shell fittings. The daily breathing cycle that produces standing idle emissions, such as breathing, rim seal, deck fitting and deck seam losses, causes only a portion of the vapors in the vapor space to be expelled from the tank. The vapors that remain in the vapor space are not accounted in the standing idle emissions. The commencement of forced ventilation

expels the remaining vapors from the tank is calculated as tank cleaning emissions. Once the tank stock is removed, the bottom of the tank may be flooded with a light distillate material, such as diesel, to facilitate removal of sludge from the bottom of the tank. The procedure is referred to as distillate flushing. During the process forced ventilation continues until after the tank is clean and gas free.

24. OWS – Oil/Water Separator, Vaults and Holding Pond: The facility storm drains (containing potentially contaminated rainwater/contact water (effluent) are routed to an enclosed vault and then to the Oil/Water Separators. The water from the OWS is then routed to a holding pond which is periodically pumped through an onsite carbon adsorption system when sufficient quantity builds up. It is then discharged to the City of Eugene storm water drainage ditch. The flowrate depends on rainfall and varies throughout the year.

The VOC emissions from the two (2) OWS and vaults were calculated using the emission factor for oil/water separators in Table 5.1-2 of Section 5.1 (Petroleum Refining), EPA's *AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, January 1995*. This emission factor is 0.20 lbs/1000 gallons. The holding pond emissions were calculated using the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) default emission factor for evaporative losses from open pond/ditches at an oil and gas reduction facilities and refineries.

The OWS was placed on the emission units list because it no longer qualifies as a categorically insignificant activity per the DEQ amended Oregon Administrative Rules effective April 16, 2015. An OWS with effluent greater than 400,000 gallons per year are considered an emission unit.

25. SUMP – Sumps: Sump emission are calculated by using the volume and mass of gasoline vapors emitted from the sump, which include the prover sump, rack sump, and main line sump. Emissions from the sump may occur during draining of the prover, maintenance of the prover, sample shack activities, maintenance in the manifold yard, washing of equipment, or rainwater runoff ('contact water'). Contact water is water that has been in contact with hydrocarbon liquids or with hydrocarbon liquid process equipment and therefore may contain minor amounts of hydrocarbon. Because petroleum fuels have low solubility in water, contact water is typically not hazardous unless testing demonstrates unusual quantities of benzene or other hazardous constituents. Contact water at the facility is generated from water drained from process equipment and storm water captured at the site. This water is routed, via sumps near the equipment, to two (2) oil-water separator systems (OWS). Contact water recovered from the OWS is routed to one of the contact water storage tanks. The contents of the contact water tanks are periodically tested and transferred to tanker trucks and shipped off-site for processing. The emission factors used to sump emissions were obtained from EPA AP-42, *Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*: Chapter 5 Petroleum Industry Section 5.2 Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids, Table 5.2-7. Sump emissions can be represented by the emission factors for submerged filling (7.3 lb/1000 gals) plus underground tank breathing and emptying (1.0 lb/1000 gals). Based on these, the calculated VOC emissions are approximately six (6) tons per year.
26. OSU – Off-Spec Unloading: Off-spec means gasoline that does not meet the proper specifications of the customer, based on additive concentration, contamination, etc. To represent the worst-case emissions scenario, it is assumed that all off-spec fuel products unloaded is gasoline. The maximum number of events per year is based using the maximum of one (1) truck per week (52 trucks per year) at a volume of 8,000 gallons per truck per event. Off-spec unloading is performed in a designated area, not at the loading racks, and is offloaded directly to a manifold and to the storage tank. The emission factors used to calculate emissions come from EPA AP-42, *Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*: Chapter 5 Petroleum Industry Section 5.2 Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids.

AGGREGATE INSIGNIFICANT EMISSION UNITS

27. Aggregate insignificant emissions from the activities identified by the facility are detailed in the following table:

| Emissions Source | VOC (tpy) |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Roof Landing Losses | 0.51 |

| Emissions Source | VOC (tpy) |
|------------------|-------------|
| Prover | 0.01 |
| Additive Tanks | 0.07 |
| Total | 0.59 |

- 27.a. Roof Landing Losses: When the floating roof tanks, tanks that the roof floats on the surface of the liquid inside the tank which reduces evaporative losses during routine operation. However, when the tank is emptied to the point that the roof lands on deck legs or hangers, there is a period where the roof is not floating, and other mechanisms contribute to emissions. These emissions continue until the tank is refilled to a sufficient level to again float the roof. SFPP worst-case scenario for landing losses is landing a floating roof one time for one (1) tank per year. The emissions for landing losses for one tank per year are calculated at 0.51 tons per year.
- 27.b. Prover: Pipeline Flow Meter-Prover Operation (Prover) – is used to verify meters used for measurement of the liquid transported by pipeline. The prover is a horizontal pipe circuit of a precisely known volume of 1,176 gallons (28 barrels). When it is necessary to empty the prover for inspection or maintenance activities, the prover is emptied into a small sub-surface sump with the open atmospheric vent. As the prover is filled the prover pipe contains only saturated vapor. When the liquid received from the underground pipeline is routed to run through the prover, the hydrocarbon vapors in the prover are expelled by the incoming liquid and discharged to the atmosphere by way of venting at the sump. This occurs no more than 12 times per year. The VOCs are emitted to the atmosphere each time the prover liquid contents are drained. The displaced volume per displacement event is 924 gallons (22 barrels). However, the calculated value is 1,176 gallons (28 barrels) per event. This larger volume has been used to produce a conservative estimate of VOC emissions. The worst-case emissions for each event (drain and fill) of VOCs would occur if gasoline (RVP 12) vapors were vented each time as gasoline is the most volatile material processed. The calculated emissions are 0.01 tons per year of VOC.
- 27.c. Additive Tanks: SFPP has ten (10) tanks, eight (8) horizontal and two (2) vertical, that are used to store additives. The tanks are all fixed roof, white, and have a single, free vent which vents directly to the atmosphere. Additives tanks are considered to be an insignificant emission source. Brand-specific proprietary customer blended additives are delivered by tanker truck and stored. Depending on customer requirements, fuel additives injection and midgrade gasoline blending (combination of premium and regular grades) occurs directly at the loading racks. Emissions are based on the assumption of worst-case scenario of six (6) turnovers per year for each tank and utilizing the worst-case additive (Chevron OGA 72040).

CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES

28. Categorically Insignificant Activities: The facility has the following categorically insignificant activities:

- Constituents of a chemical mixture present at less than 1 percent by weight of any chemical or compound regulated under OAR chapter 340, division 218 and 220, and LRAPA titles 12 through 51 or less than 0.1 percent by weight of any carcinogen listed in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Annual Report on Carcinogens when usage of the chemical mixture is less than 100,000 pounds/year.
- Evaporative and tail pipe emissions from on-site motor vehicle operation;
- Distillate oil, kerosene, and gasoline natural gas or propane burning equipment, provided the aggregate expected actual emissions of the equipment identified as categorically insignificant do not exceed the de minimis level for any regulated pollutant, based on the expected maximum annual operation of the equipment. If a source's expected emissions from all such equipment exceed the de minimis levels, then the source may identify a subgroup of such equipment as categorically insignificant with the remainder not categorically insignificant. The following equipment may never be included as categorically insignificant:

- Any individual distillate oil, kerosene or gasoline burning equipment with a rating greater than 0.4 million Btu/hour;
- Any individual natural gas or propane burning equipment with a rating greater than 2.0 million Btu/hour;
- Distillate oil, kerosene, and gasoline natural gas or propane burning equipment brought on site for six (6) months or less for maintenance, construction or similar purposes, such as but not limited to generators, pumps, hot water pressure washers and space heaters, provided that any such equipment that performs the same function as the permanent equipment, must be operated within the source's existing PSEL;
- Office activities;
- Food service activities;
- Janitorial activities;
- Personal care activities;
- Grounds-keeping activities including, but not limited to building painting and road and parking lot maintenance;
- Instrument calibration;
- Maintenance and repair shop;
- Air cooling or ventilating equipment not designed to remove air contaminants generated by or released from associated equipment;
- Refrigeration systems with less than 50 pounds of charge of ozone depleting substances regulated under Title VI, including pressure tanks used in refrigeration systems but excluding any combustion equipment associated with such systems;
- Bench scale laboratory equipment and laboratory equipment used exclusively for chemical and physical analysis, including associated vacuum producing devices but excluding research and development facilities;
- Temporary construction activities;
- Warehouse activities;
- Accidental fires;
- Air vents from air compressors;
- Continuous emissions monitoring vent lines;
- Pre-treatment of municipal water, including use of deionized water purification systems;
- Electrical charging stations;
- Fire suppression;
- Routine maintenance, repair, and replacement such as anticipated activities most often associated with and performed during regularly scheduled equipment outages to maintain a plant and its equipment in good operating condition, including but not limited to steam cleaning, abrasive use, and woodworking;
- Electric motors;
- Storage tanks, reservoirs, transfer and lubricating equipment used exclusively for ASTM grade distillate or residual fuels, lubricants, and hydraulic fluids;
- On-site storage tanks not subject to any New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), including underground storage tanks (UST), storing gasoline or diesel used exclusively for fueling of the facility's fleet of vehicles;
- Natural gas, propane, and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) storage tanks and transfer equipment;
- Pressurized tanks containing gaseous compounds;
- Emissions from wastewater discharges to publicly owned treatment works (POTW) provided the source is authorized to discharge to the POTW, not including on-site wastewater treatment and/or holding facilities;
- Storm water settling basins;
- Fire suppression and training;
- Paved roads and paved parking lots within an urban growth boundary;

- Hazardous air pollutant emissions of fugitive dust from paved and unpaved roads except for those sources that have processes or activities that contribute to the deposition and entrainment of hazardous air pollutants from surface soils;
- Health, safety, and emergency response activities; and
- Combustion source flame safety purging on startup.

ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIO

29. SFPP does not have any alternative operating scenario.

EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS, TESTING, MONITORING, AND RECORDKEEPING

30. Section 70.6(a)(3) of the federal Title V permit rules requires all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements be contained in Title V permits. In addition, where the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the facility's compliance with the permit.
31. The Title V permit does include monitoring for all requirements that apply to significant emissions units in addition to the testing requirements in the permit. Periodic visible emissions observations are required for all particulate emissions sources. In addition, the permit includes monitoring of operating parameters for the processes and pollution control devices. It is assumed that as long as these processes and controls are properly operated, the emissions levels will be below the emissions limits specified in the permit.

EU: FR – Fixed Roof Tanks

32. EU – FR must equip the fixed roof tanks with pressure/vacuum vents and monitor and keep records of the petroleum liquid stored, period of storage, maximum vapor pressure of liquid stored, and any repairs done on the tanks.

EUs: IFR and EFR – Internal and External Floating Roof Tanks

33. EU: IFR tanks EG-17, EG-18 and EG-19 are subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart K monitoring and recordkeeping requirements of petroleum liquid store, period stored and maximum true vapor pressure and the maximum expected storage temperature.
34. EU: IFR tanks EG-16, EG-40, EG-41 and EG-42 and EU: EFR tank EG-25 are subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb. SFPP has chosen to satisfy the requirements of subpart Kb by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. The permittee who chooses to comply subpart WW, also must comply with the monitoring requirements in 40 CFR 60.116b(a), (c), (e) and (f)(1), must keep all records and reports pursuant of 40 CFR 60.115b(a) and (b), including report that describe the control equipment and certifies that the control equipment meets the specifications of 40 CFR 60.112b(a)(1) and 60.113b(a)(1), and all records of conducted inspections required by 40 CFR 63.1063(c)(1) and (2), and copies of all records and reports must be kept pursuant to 40 CFR 63.1065.
35. EUs: IFR and EFR storing gasoline are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB (6B). To satisfy the requirements of subpart 6B each internal and external floating roof gasoline storage tank must be equipped and operated according to the applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63.1063(a)(1) and (b), except the for the secondary seal requirements under 40 CFR 63.1063(a)(1)(i)(C) and (D), and each external floating roof gasoline storage tank must be equipped according to the requirements of 40 CFR 63.1063(a)(2) if such storage tank does not currently meet the requirements of 40 CFR 63.1063(a)(1). The gasoline storage tanks must also comply with the monitoring, testing, notifications, recordkeeping and reporting requirements of subpart 6B.

EU: T-RACK – Tank Truck Loading Racks

36. EU: T-RACK is subject to the emissions limitation and vapor collection system design of 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX. The loading rack VOC emissions must not exceed the emissions to atmosphere for the vapor collection system limitation of the of 35 milligrams of total organic compounds (TOC) per liter of gasoline (0.292 lb VOC/1,000 gallons). Each rack must be equipped with a vapor collection system that collects the TOC displaced from tank trucks during product loading. In addition, the vapor collected at one loading rack cannot pass to another loading rack. Each gasoline tank truck that loads at the facility must have documentation of vapor tightness. The vapor collection system control device must perform a test that is six (6) hours long in which at least 300,000 liters of gasoline is loaded. The three-run requirement of 40 CFR 60.8(f) does not apply to 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX.
37. EU: T-RACK are subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB (6B). According to subpart 6B the loading racks must be equipped with vapor collection system that is designed to collect TOC vapors displaced from cargo tanks during product loading, the emissions must be reduced to less than 80 milligram per liter (0.668 lb VOC/1,000 gals), vapor collection must be designed to prevent any collect TOC vapors from passing through another loading rack or lane, and the gasoline cargo tanks must be vapor tight. The permittee must install, calibrate, certify, operate and maintain, according to the manufacture's specifications, a continuous monitoring system (CMS) while gasoline vapors are displaced to the vapor processor systems. SFPP utilizes thermal oxidation, an enclosed flare, as the vapor combustion unit (VCU). The VCU must be testing to ensure that it is reducing the TOC to less than 80 mg/l. Annual certification test for the gasoline cargo tanks must be performed and the facility must have the documentation of the test.
38. EU: T-RACK's control device, the VCU, is subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 64, Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM). The VCU must maintain a minimum operating temperature of equal or greater than 600°F.
39. EU: T-RACK's VCU is subject to the particulate matter emission limitations under LRAPA 32-015(2)(c). For sources installed, constructed or modified after April 16, 2015, the particulate matter emission limit is 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot. The VCU is also subject to the visible emission limitations under LRAPA 32-010(3). This emission unit may not have visible emissions equal to or greater than 20% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three (3) minutes in any one (1) hour. Compliance is demonstrated through monitoring of the enclosed flare visible emissions to be completed at least once quarterly. EU: T-RACK must not operate the loading racks without utilizing the vapor collection system and control device operating according to LRAPA 32-007.

EU: FGTVOG – Fugitive VOC emissions from Flanges, Valves and Pumps

40. EU: FGTVOG is subject to the emissions limitation and vapor collection system design of 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX. According to 40 CFR 60.502(j), the facility, on a monthly basis, must inspect for any TOC liquid or vapor leaks of the vapor collection system, the vapor processing system and each loading rack handling gasoline during the loading of gasoline tank truck. Each leak must be recorded and the source of the leak repaired within 15 days after it is detected. A record of each monthly leak inspection must be kept on file at the facility for at least two (2) years. The inspection record must include, as minimum, date of inspection, findings, the leak determination method, corrective action, and the inspector's name and signature.
41. EU: FGTVOG is subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB. Monthly leak inspections of all equipment in gasoline service must be inspected. A log book must be used and be signed at the completion of each inspection and describe a list of equipment inspected, summary description or diagrams showing the location of all equipment in gasoline service at the facility. Each detection of a liquid or vapor leak must be recorded, and initial repair must be made as soon as possible, but no later than five (5) calendar days after detection. If repair is not feasible within 15 days, the facility must provide the reason(s) why the repair was not feasible in the semi-annual report.

Emissions Limits for Aggregate Insignificant Activities and Categorically Insignificant Activities

42. As identified earlier in this Review Report, the facility has insignificant activities that are designated as categorically insignificant activities or aggregate insignificant activities (AIA). For the most part, the requirements that apply to these emission units are operational, maintenance and work practices. 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3) of the federal Title V permit rules, requires all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements be contained in Title V permits. In addition, where the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the facility's compliance with the permit. However, the requirements to include in a permit testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance does not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor with respect to all emissions units and applicable requirement situations. It does not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emissions units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. Where compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for an insignificant emission unit is not threatened by a lack of a regular program of monitoring and where periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, then in this instance the status quo (i.e., no monitoring) will meet section 70.6(a)(3). For this reason, this permit includes limited requirements for these emission units.

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Chemical Accident Prevention Provision

43. The Title V operating permit includes standard language related to 40 CFR Part 68 – Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions. Should the material storage rate at this facility subject this facility to 40 CFR Part 68, the facility must satisfy all the applicable risk management requirements, including the development of a risk management plan.

Stratospheric Ozone-Depleting Substances

44. The facility does not manufacture, sell, distribute, or use in the manufacturing of a product any stratospheric ozone-depleting substances and the EPA 1990 Clean Air Act as amended, Sections 601-618, do not apply to the facility except that air conditioning units and fire extinguishers containing Class I or Class II substances must be serviced by certified repairmen to ensure that the substances are recycled or destroyed appropriately.

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR part 60 subpart K – Standards of Performance for Storage Vessel for Petroleum Liquids for which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After June 11, 1973, and Prior to May 19, 1978

Applies to Tanks: EG-17, EG-18 and EG-19 Only

45. Any petroleum storage vessel as this term is defined under 40 CFR 60.110 that commenced construction, reconstruction or modification after June 11, 1973 and prior to May 19, 1978 and that has a capacity greater than 151,412 liters (40,000 gallons) is subject to regulation under 40 CFR part 60 subpart K. Tanks EG-17, EG-18 and EG -19 (included in EU: IFR) were constructed or modified after June 11, 1973 and have a capacity above 151,412 liters and therefore, are subject to this regulation.
46. Tanks EG-17, EG-18 and EG-19 must be equipped with a floating roof or an equivalent and records of the types of petroleum liquid is stored, the storage period, the maximum true vapor pressure during the respective storage period must be kept.

| 40 CFR part 60 subpart K Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 60.110 | Subpart applicability | Yes | Storage vessel with a capacity greater than 246,052 liters and commenced construction or modification after June 11, 1973, and prior to May 19, 1978. | NA |
| 60.111 | Definitions | Yes | Tanks EG-17, EG-18 and EG-19 meet the definition of a <i>storage vessel</i> . | NA |
| 60.112 | Standards for volatile organic compounds (VOC) | Yes | The true vapor pressure of the petroleum liquid as stored is equal to or greater than 78 mm Hg but not greater than 570 mm Hg | 17 |
| 60.113 | Monitoring of operations | Yes | Maintain record of petroleum liquid stored, the period of storage, and maximum true vapor pressure, typical Reid vapor pressure and maximum expected storage temperature of store product | 18 & 19 |

40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb – Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels (Including Petroleum Liquid Storage Vessels) for which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced after July 23, 1984

Applies to Tanks: EG-16, EG-25, EG-40, EG-41, and EG-42:

47. Any petroleum storage vessel as this term is defined under 40 CFR 60.110b with a capacity greater than or equal to 75 cubic meters (m³) that is used to store volatile organic liquids (VOL) that commenced construction, reconstruction or modification after July 23, 1984. Tanks EG-40, EG-41, and EG-42 (include in EU: IFR) have a capacity greater than 75 m³ and were constructed after July 23, 1984 and Tanks EG-16 (include in EU: IFR) and EG-25 (include in EU: EFR) have capacities over 75 m³ and were modified after July 23, 1984 are therefore subject to this regulation.
48. SFPP has met the initial notification for tanks EG-16, EG-25, EG-40, EG-41, and EG-42 of 40 CFR 60.110b(e)(5)(iv)(F)(1).
49. The facility has chosen the option of complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW to satisfy 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb according to 40 CFR 60.110b(e)(5). To comply with 40 CFR 60.110b(e)(5) the facility must comply with the monitoring conditions 40 CFR 60.116b(a), (c), (e) and (f)(1) and all records and reports kept pursuant to 40 CFR 63.115b(a) and (b).

| 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 60.110b | Subpart applicability and designation of affected facility | Yes | Storage vessels with a capacity greater than 75 cubic meters commenced construction or modification after July 23, 1984 and choosing to comply with part 63, subpart WW. Tanks EG-16, EG-25, EG-40, EG-41 and EG-42 are applicable to this subpart. | 20 |

| 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 60.111b | Definitions | Yes | Tanks EG-16, EG-25, EG-40, EG-41 and EG-42 meet the definition of a <i>storage vessel</i> . | NA |
| 60.112b | Standards for volatile organic compounds (VOC) | Yes | The facility complies with this section by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. | NA |
| 60.113b | Testing and procedures | Yes | The facility complies with this section by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW | NA |
| 60.114b | Alternative means of emission limitation | Yes | The facility complies with this section by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW | NA |
| 60.115b | Reporting and recordkeeping | Yes | The facility complies with this section by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. The facility must also comply with subsections (a) and (b). Subsections (c) and (d) are not applicable. | 22 |
| 60.116b | Monitoring of operations | Yes | The facility complies with this section by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. The facility must also comply with (a), (c), (e) and (f)(1). The facility is not applicable to subsection (b), (f)(2) and the close vent system in subsection (g). | 21 |
| 60.117b | Delegation of authority | Yes | Informational | NA |

40 CFR part 60 subpart XX – Standards of Performance for Bulk Gasoline Terminals

50. Any loading rack, as this term is defined under 40 CFR 60.500, that is located at a bulk gasoline terminal which delivers liquid product into gasoline tanker trucks and was constructed or modified after December 17, 1980. The loading rack (EU: T-RACK) was modified in 1986 by constructing a new unloading rack (#5) and a vapor refrigeration unit was installed to control the fugitive VOC emission emitted for the rack system.
51. The loading racks must be equipped with a vapor collection system designed to collect the TOC vapors displaced from tank trucks during product loading. The TOC emission to atmosphere from the vapor collection system does not exceed 35 mg/l of TOC per liter of gasoline loaded. Each vapor collection system must be designed to prevent any TOC vapors collected at one loading rack from passing to another loading rack. The loading of liquid product into gasoline tank truck must be limited to vapor-tight gasoline tank trucks.

| 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| 60.500 | Applicability and designation of affected facility | Yes | Loading racks at a bulk gasoline terminal constructed or modified commenced after December 17, 1980. | NA |
| 60.501 | Definitions | Yes | Loading rack meets the definition of <i>loading rack</i> . | NA |

| 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 60.502 | Standard of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions from bulk gasoline terminals | Yes | Total organic compounds (TOC) emissions emitted from the vapor collection system (EU: T-RACK) are not to exceed 35 milligrams per liter of gasoline loaded and open at a system pressure less than 4,500 pascals (450 mm of water). Loading of liquid product only into vapor-tight gasoline tank trucks. | 33 |
| 60.503 | Test methods and procedures | Yes | The facility must test the vapor collection system and enclosed combustion unit. Subsection (e) is not applicable. | 34 |
| 60.504 | Reserved | NA | | NA |
| 60.505 | Reporting and recording | Yes | Record tank truck documentation, monthly leak inspections, notifications, and replacements or additions of components performed. | 35 |
| 60.506 | Reconstruction | Yes | Reconstruction | NA |

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB (6) – Standards of Performance for Bulk Gasoline Terminals

52. Any gasoline storage tanks, gasoline loading racks, vapor collection-equipped gasoline cargo tank, and equipment components in vapor or liquid gasoline service as this term is defined under 40 CFR 63.11100, that is located at a bulk gasoline terminal which is an area source of HAP.
53. SFPP supplied LRAPA with the Initial Notification Report on May 7, 2008.
54. The gasoline storage tanks that are subject to and complies with, the control requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb, will be deemed in compliance with 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB, but the permittee must report this determination in the Notification of Compliance Status report under 40 CFR 63.11093(b). SFPP has supplied LRAPA with Notification of Compliance Status report on May 7, 2008.
55. The gasoline storage tanks under EU: IFR and EFR must have rim seals that meet the requirements of 63.1063(a)(1) and the operational requirements of 63.1063(b) installed. External floating roof gasoline tanks must also meet the deck fitting requirements of 63.1063(a)(2). Internal and external floating roof tanks must be inspected following 60.1063(c)(1) and (c)(2).
56. The loading racks (EU: T-RACK), to comply with standards of this regulation, must be equipped with a vapor collection system to capture the TOC vapors displaced from the cargo tanks during loading. The TOC must be reduced by at least 80 mg/l of gasoline loaded. TOC vapors collected at one loading rack must not pass through another loading rack and all gasoline must be loaded into gasoline cargo tanks that are vapor tight using the procedures specified in 60.502(e) through (j).

| 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart BBBBBB citations | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 63.11080 | Purpose | Yes | The facility is a gasoline distribution bulk terminal. | NA |
| 63.11081 | Subpart applicability | Yes | Conditions (a)(1) and (b), (f), (g), (h), (i), and (j) are applicable. Subsections (c), (d) and (e) not applicable | NA |
| 63.11082 | Affected source | Yes | Applies to all gasoline storage tanks, gasoline loading racks, vapor collection-equipped gasoline cargo tank, and equipment components in vapor or liquid gasoline service at the facility. Conditions (b) and (c) are not applicable | NA |
| 63.11083 | Compliance dates | Yes | This is an existing site. Conditions (a) and (c) are not applicable. | NA |
| 63.11085 | General duties to minimize emissions | Yes | The facility must, at all times, operate and maintain any affected source noted in this subpart, keep applicable records and submit reports. | 23, 36 & 58 |
| 63.11086 | Requirements for a bulk gasoline plant | No | The facility is a bulk gasoline terminal and is not applicable to this subsection. | NA |
| 63.11087 | Requirements for gasoline storage tanks at a bulk gasoline terminal | Yes | The facility must meet each emission limit, management practice, testing and monitoring, notifications, recordkeeping and report that applies to the gasoline storage tanks. | 24 |
| 63.11088 | Requirements for gasoline loading racks at a bulk gasoline terminal | Yes | The facility must meet each emission limit, management practice, testing and monitoring, notifications, recordkeeping and report that applies to the gasoline loading racks. | 37 & 38 |
| 63.11089 | Requirements for equipment leak inspections at a bulk gasoline terminal | Yes | Monthly leak inspections of all equipment in gasoline service. Submit applicable notifications, keep records and submit reports. | 59 |
| 63.11092 | Testing and monitoring requirements | Yes | The gasoline loading rack must meet the 80 mg/l of gasoline loaded emission limit. The facility has met condition (a)(3). Conditions (a)(4), (b)(1)(i), (b)(1)(ii), (b)(2), and (e)(3) are not applicable. | 25 & 38.a – 38.f |
| 63.11093 | Notifications requirements | Yes | The facility has submitted the Initial Notification was submitted May 7, 2008. Notification of Performance Test must be 60 days prior to source testing. | 26 & 39 |
| 63.11094 | Recordkeeping requirements | Yes | Condition (f)(2) Notification of Compliance Status requirement has been met. Subsection (f)(2)(ii) is not applicable. | 27.a, 27.b, 40, & 60 |

| 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart BBBBBB citations | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 63.11095 | Reporting requirements | Yes | Conditions (c) is not applicable. | 28, 41.a-41.c & 61.a-61.c |
| 63.11098 | General provisions | Yes | Informational | NA |
| 63.11099 | Implements and enforces | Yes | Informational | NA |
| Table 1 to subpart BBBBBB | Applicability Criteria, Emission Limits, and Management Practices for Storage Tank | Yes | (d) Internal and External floating roof gasoline storage tank with a capacity of greater than or equal to 75 m ³ meet the applicable requirements of this subpart by complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. Subsections (1), (2)(a) and (3) are not applicable. | 24.a |
| Table 2 to subpart BBBBBB | Applicability Criteria, Emission Limits, and Management Practices for Loading Racks | Yes | A bulk gasoline terminal loading rack with a throughput of 250,000 gallons per day or greater is applicable to an emission limit of 80 mg/l, has a vapor collection system, and limits the loading of gasoline into gasoline cargo tanks that are vapor tight. Subsections (1) is not applicable. | 37.a-37.d |
| Table 3 to subpart BBBBBB | Applicability of General Provision | Yes | Applicable to noted section of General Provision. | NA |

40 CFR part 63 subpart WW – National Emission Standards Storage Vessels (Tanks) – Control Level 2

57. The facility has chosen to comply with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW to comply with the requirements of 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb and the internal and external floating roof storage vessels requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB.
58. The applicability of 40 CFR 63.1060 applies to the control of air emissions from internal and external floating roof gasoline storage vessels for which 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb and 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB are subject and references the use of 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW for such air emission control. These air emission standards for storage vessels are placed here for administrative convenience and only apply to those permittees of facilities subject to a referencing subpart. The provisions of subpart A (General Provisions) do not apply except as noted in the referencing subpart.
59. The facility complies with the control requirements of a 40 CFR 63.1062(a)(1) and (a)(2), the floating roof requirements of 40 CFR 63.1063(a) through (e), the recordkeeping requirements of 40 CFR 63.1065 and the reporting requirements of 40 CFR 63.1066.

| 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WW citations | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 63.1060 | Applicability | Yes | Control of air emissions from storage vessels for which another subpart | NA |

| 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WW citations | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition(s) |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | | | references the use of this subpart for such air emission control. | |
| 63.1061 | Definitions | Yes | Tanks are defined as either Fixed Roof, External Floating Roof and Internal Floating Roof. | NA |
| 63.1062 | Storage vessel control requirements | Yes | Operate and maintain IFR and EFR or equivalent. | 30 |
| 63.1063 | Floating roof requirements | Yes | IFR and EFR are subject to the design, operational, inspection frequency, inspection procedure, are repair requirements. Subsections (1)(i)(D), (2)(ii)(C) and (2)(ix) requirements have been met. | 31 |
| 63.1064 | Alternative means of emission limitation | Yes | Alternative control device requirements. | 32 |
| 63.1065 | Recordkeeping requirements | Yes | Record vessel dimensions, capacity, inspection results and floating roof landings. | 33 |
| 63.1066 | Reporting requirements | Yes | Notification of initial startup and periodic reports. | 34 |
| 6.1067 | Implementation and enforcement | Yes | EPA retains enforcement authority for approval of alternatives, approval of major change to test methods, monitoring, and recordkeeping and reporting. | NA |

COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING (CAM)

60. Title 40, Part 64 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) requirements. These regulations are also codified in LRAPA 35-0200 through 35-0280. CAM requirements apply to any Pollutant Specific Emissions Unit (PSEU) at a Part 70 source that meets the following criteria:

- 60.a. The unit is subject to an emission limitation or standard for a regulated air pollutant;
- 60.b. The unit uses a control device to achieve compliance with that emission limitation or standard;
- 60.c. The unit, by itself, has potential pre-control emissions of the regulated air pollutant that would make it a major source (i.e. greater than 100 tons per year for criteria pollutants; greater than 10 tons per year for individual Federal HAPs); and
- 60.d. The exemptions in 40 CFR 64.2(b) and LRAPA 35-0200(2) do not apply. The exemptions include:
 - 60.d.i. Emission limitations or standards proposed by US EPA after November 15, 1990 under section 111 (NSPS) or section 112 (NESHAPs);
 - 60.d.ii. Stratospheric ozone protection requirements under Title VI;
 - 60.d.iii. Acid Rain Program requirements;
 - 60.d.iv. Emission limitations or standards or other applicable requirements that apply solely under an emissions trading program approved or promulgated by US EPA;
 - 60.d.v. An emissions cap that meets the requirements in 40 CFR 70.4(b)(12);

- 60.d.vi. Emission limitations or standards for which a Part 70 permit specifies a continuous compliance demonstration method, as defined in 40 CFR 64.1 and LRAPA title 12; and
- 60.d.vii. Municipally-owned backup utility emission units meeting the requirements under 40 CFR 64.2(b)(2).

61. The following table evaluates CAM applicability for all significant emission units at the facility:

| Emission Unit | Regulated Pollutant | Uses a Control Device for Regulated Pollutant | Uncontrolled Potential Emissions Exceed Major Thresholds | Is there an Emission Limitation or Standard for this Pollutant | Subject to CAM for the Pollutant | Monitoring Frequency |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| EU: FR | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: IFR | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: EFR | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: T-RACK (PDC: VCU) | PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} | No | No | No | No | -- |
| | NO _x | No | No | No | No | -- |
| | CO | No | No | No | No | -- |
| | SO ₂ | No | No | No | No | -- |
| | VOC | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Continuously while operating |
| EU: FGTVOC | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: TC | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: OWS | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: EtOH | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: SUMP | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |
| EU: OSU | VOC | No | No | No | No | -- |

62. VCU: John Zink Enclosed Flare: The Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Plan for the Vapor Combustion Unit – thermal oxidizer – enclosed flare (VRU), was developed in accordance with 40 CFR Section 64.3, “Monitoring design criteria”. The collected vapors from EU: TRACK will be routed to a vapor holding tank (Tank EG-07). When the vapor tank bladder reaches an approximate height of 18 feet, the vapor will be released to the VCU. The parameter to be monitored will be the exhaust stack temperature and is performed continuously with a thermocouple. Periodic inspection and maintenance of the burner system will also be another element of the requirement of this section.

62.a. The inspection and maintenance work practice comprised of an annual inspection (including tuning) of the VCU burners was selected because this verifies equipment integrity and periodic tuning will maintain proper burner operation and efficiency. The thermocouple will be located in the stack and the temperature will be monitored via a Programmable Logic Computer (PLC). The PLC employs temperature-controlled feedback that maintains the desired temperature to combust the VOC emissions from the EU: T-RACK efficiently and in compliance with the mass emission limit.

- 62.b. The rationale for selection of performance indicators: The temperature was selected as a performance indicator because it is indicative of proper flare operation (combustion occurring within the chamber). If the temperature decreased below a specified set point, in this case 600°F, complete combustion may not occur. This potentially could cause the mass emission limitation of 35 mg/l (0.292 lb VOC/1,000 gallons) to be exceeded. The proper temperature operation will achieve compliance with the emission limitation. The work practice of inspecting and maintenance on an annual basis, which would include the tuning of the burners, will be utilized because it verifies equipment's integrity and periodic tuning will maintain proper burner operation and efficiency. The facility will follow the manufacturer's suggested maintenance practices as applicable which further ensure reliable operation. The annual inspection of the vapor system according to the manufacturer's suggested maintenance practices as applicable will be followed to ensure reliable operation.
- 62.c. Per 40 CFR 64.7(d): (1) The response to excursions or exceedances, the facility will restore operation to its normal or usual manner as expeditiously as practicable. The response will include taking any necessary corrective actions to restore normal operation and prevent the likely recurrence of the cause of an excursion or exceedance. Such actions may include initial inspection and evaluation, recording the operations returned to normal without operator action, or necessary follow-up actions to return operation to within the indicator range, designated condition, or below the applicable emission limitation or standard, as applicable. (2) Determination of whether the facility has used acceptable procedures in response to an excursion or exceedance will be based on information available, which may include but is not limited to, monitoring results, review of operation and maintenance procedures and records, and inspection of the control device, associated capture system, and the process. Based on the determination made under 40 CFR 61.7(d)(2), LRAPA may require SFPP to develop and implement a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP).

| General Criteria | Indicator #1 | Indicator #2 | Indicator #3 |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| Parameter | Exhaust Stack Temperature | Work Practice – Inspection and Maintenance | Work Practice – Inspection and Maintenance |
| Measurement Approach | Monitored continuously with a thermocouple | Periodic inspection and maintenance of the burner | Periodic inspection and maintenance of the vapor compressor |
| Indicator Range | At or above 600°F | Annual inspection and manufacturer's recommended maintenance frequency. | Annual inspection and manufacturer's recommended maintenance frequency. |
| Performance Criteria | | | |
| Data Representativeness | The thermocouple will be located 20' up the stack, or approximately 16.5' above the burner tips. The minimum tolerance of the thermocouple will be determined once a manufacturer is selected. The temperature is monitored via a Programmable Logic Computer (PLC). The | NA | NA |

| General Criteria | Indicator #1 | Indicator #2 | Indicator #3 |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | minimum set point is 600°F. Above this temperature, 0.292 lbs VOC/1,000 gal is achievable | | |
| Verification of Operational Status | NA | NA | NA |
| QA/QC Practices and Criteria | The thermocouple is factory calibrated. The thermal oxidizer maintenance schedule does not include any requirements for thermocouple calibration | NA | NA |
| Monitoring Frequency | Measured continuously | At least an annual inspection of the burner and periodic maintenance at a frequency in accordance with any applicable manufacturers' suggested schedule | At least an annual inspection of the burner and periodic maintenance at a frequency in accordance with any applicable manufacturers' suggested schedule |
| Data Collection Procedure | Recorded continuously during burner operation | Record results of maintenance procedures and annual inspection to be maintained for a 5-year period | Record results of maintenance procedures and annual inspection to be maintained for a 5-year period |
| Averaging Period | No average is taken | NA | NA |

TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY (TRI)

63. The Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) is a federal program that tracks the management of certain toxic chemicals that may pose a threat to human health and the environment, over which LRAPA has no regulatory authority. It is a resource for learning about toxic chemical releases and pollution prevention activities reported by certain industrial facilities. Section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) created the TRI Program. In general, chemicals covered by the TRI Program are those that cause:

- Cancer or other chronic human health effects;
- Significant adverse acute human health effects; or
- Significant adverse environmental effects.

There are currently over 650 chemicals covered by the TRI Program. Facilities that manufacture, process or otherwise use these chemicals in amounts above established levels must submit annual TRI reports on each chemical. NOTE: The TRI Program is a federal program over which LRAPA has no regulatory authority. LRAPA does not guarantee the accuracy of any information copied from EPA's TRI website.

64. In order to report emissions to the TRI program, a facility must operate under a reportable NAICS code, meet a minimum employee threshold, and manufacture, process, or otherwise use chemicals in excess of the applicable

reporting threshold for the chemical. Because this facility operates under NAICS code 493190 – Bulk petroleum storage, this facility is not required to report emissions to the TRI program.

PLANT SITE EMISSION LIMITS

65. Provided below is a summary of the baseline emission rate, netting basis, plant site emission limit and emissions capacity.

| Pollutant | Baseline (tons/yr) | Netting Basis | | Plant Site Emission Limit (PSEL) | | | Capacity (tons/yr) | Actual Emissions for 2022 (tons/yr) |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Previous (tons/yr) | Proposed (tons/yr) | Previous PSEL (tons/yr) | Proposed PSEL (tons/yr) | PSEL Increase (tons/yr) | | |
| PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2.3 | -21.8 | 2.6 | 1.20 |
| PM ₁₀ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2.3 | -11.8 | 2.6 | 0.48 |
| PM _{2.5} | NA | NA | 0 | 9 | 2.3 | -6.8 | 2.6 | 0.30 |
| CO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 11 | -88.5 | 12 | 0.21 |
| NO _x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 44 | 0.14 |
| SO ₂ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| VOC | 569 | 480 | 569 | 472 | 581 | 109 | 581 | 68.25 |
| Single HAP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 14.15 | 0.93 |
| Combined HAPs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 30.59 | 2.94 |
| Pb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GHG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,000 | 45,520 | -24,481 | 45,520 | 5,346 |

- 65.a. Though the facility was operating in 1977-1978 the baseline emission rate (BER) was initially based on the 1984 actual emission.
- 65.b. The VOC was adjusted from the 1984 actual emissions of the facility to include emissions units that have been part of normal operations (EUs: OWS, TC, SUMP, & FGTVO) but were not accounted for in the BER. The BER was also increased for the tanks (EUs: FR, IFR & EFR) new methodology for calculating emissions from the tanks.
- 65.c. A baseline emission rate was not required for PM_{2.5} in accordance with the definition of “baseline emission rate” in LRAPA Title 12.
- 65.d. The baseline emission rate for greenhouse gases (GHGs) is zero (0) because the facility did not operate any source that emitted GHGs between the baseline period of calendar years of 2000-2010.
- 65.e. The netting basis for VOC was increased as a reflection of the increase to the BER.
- 65.f. There is no change to the netting basis for the pollutants with PSELs (PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO and NO_x) established in Addendum #3 issued January 28, 2020. Increases to the netting basis are approved through Major NSR, Type A State NSR, or PSD action under title 38. This permitting action did not trigger a Major NSR or Type A State NSR action, so the netting basis for each of these pollutants remains zero (0). [LRAPA 42-0046(3)(e)]
- 65.g. In accordance with OAR 340-222-0041(3), the PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO, and VOC PSELs have been set at the source’s potential to emit. No PSEL is set for SO₂ in accordance with LRAPA 42-0020(3) because SO₂ is emitted facility-wide below the de minimis level, as defined in LRAPA title 12.

- 65.h. The potential to emit for NO_x is greater than the SER over the netting basis. SFPP elected to limit the NO_x PSEL to one (1) ton less than the SER.
- 65.i. SFPP has requested a limit of nine (9) tons per year for a single HAP and 24 tons per year for combined HAPs. The facility is a synthetic minor source since SFPP has the potential to emit over major thresholds of ten (10) tons per year single HAP and 25 tons per year for combined HAPs. The facility's semi-annual and annual reporting have demonstrated that the facility has remained under the major source thresholds for all reporting periods.
66. There have been no physical modifications at the facility that would have required a New Source Review or have met the LRAPA definition of a major modification since the baseline period. Previously insignificant emission units or activities were incorporated into this renewal permit because it was determined through calculations that they should be accounted for as emission units.

SIGNIFICANT EMISSION RATE

67. The Plant Site Emission Limit increase over the netting basis is less than the Significant Emission Rate (SER) as defined in LRAPA Title 12 for all of the pollutants as shown below:

| Pollutant | Netting Basis (tons/year) | Proposed PSEL (tons/year) | Unassigned Emissions (tons/year) | SER (tons/year) |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| PM | --- | 2.3 | --- | 25 |
| PM ₁₀ | --- | 2.3 | --- | 15 |
| PM _{2.5} | --- | 2.3 | --- | 10 |
| CO | --- | 11 | --- | 100 |
| NO _x | --- | 39 | --- | 40 |
| SO ₂ | --- | --- | --- | 40 |
| VOC | 569 | 581 | --- | 40 |
| Pb | --- | --- | --- | 0.06 |
| GHG | --- | 49,520 | --- | 75,000 |

UNASSIGNED EMISSIONS AND EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

68. The facility has no unassigned emissions and does not have any emissions reduction credits at this time.

HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS/TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS

69. The following is the potential to emit (tons per year) of the facility for hazardous air pollutants listed in Section 112(b) of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA). The emissions totals below reflect the maximum HAP emissions from the facility. The table demonstrates that the facility has the capacity to emit more than ten (10) tons per year of any single HAP and less than 25 tons per year of total HAPs, but SFPP has requested to be a synthetic minor for FHAPs by limiting the individual and combined HAP to no more than nine (9) and 24 tons per year, respectfully. The facility is therefore considered an area source of HAP and is subject to 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB.
70. Under the Cleaner Air Oregon program, only existing sources that have been notified by LRAPA and new sources are required to perform risk assessments. This source has not been notified by LRAPA and is therefore not yet required to perform a risk assessment or report annual emissions of toxic air contaminants. LRAPA required reporting of approximately 600 toxic air contaminants in 2016 and regulates approximately 260 toxic air contaminants that have Risk Based Concentrations established in rule. All Federal HAPs (FHAPs) are on the list of approximately 600 toxic air contaminants. The FHAPs and toxic air contaminants listed below are based

upon source testing and standard emission factors for the types of emission units at this facility. After the source is notified by LRAPA, they must update their inventory and perform a risk assessment to see if they must reduce risk from their toxic air contaminant emissions. Until then, sources will be required to report toxic air contaminant emissions triennially.

| Pollutant | FHAP | CAO/TAC | HAP Emissions (tpy) |
|--|------|---------|---------------------|
| Benzene | Yes | Yes | 3.9 |
| Ethyl Benzene | Yes | Yes | 0.47 |
| Hexane | Yes | Yes | 14 |
| Toluene | Yes | Yes | 6.0 |
| Xylene | Yes | Yes | 2.3 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | Yes | Yes | 3.7 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | Yes | Yes | 0.24 |
| Total | | | 30.6 |
| Single HAP Source Requested Limit | | | 9 |
| Combined Source Requested Limit | | | 24 |

TITLE V PERMIT CHANGE LOG

71. The following is a list of condition-by-condition changes between the current Title V permit and the draft Title V permit:

| New Permit Condition Number | Old Permit Condition Number | Description of Change | Reason for Change |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Cover page | Cover page | Updated “Issued to”, “Information Relied Upon” and “Facility Contact Person” | New company address, new application for renewal and new facility contract. |
| Definitions | Definitions | Included the definition of “Modified EPA Method 9 (EPA Method 203B)”. | Required for Title V. |
| 1 | 1 | None | None. |
| 2 | -- | Added LRAPA’s authority to implement DEQ Title V regulations | Regulatory updates |
| 3 | 2 | Updated condition numbers that are LRAPA-only and/or DEQ-only enforceable | NA |
| 4 | 3 | Updated emission unit list to indicate new emission units with permit requirements. | Clarity and regulatory requirement. |
| 5-7 | 4-5 | Updated rule citation | 2018 LRAPA rule revision |
| -- | 6 | Removed condition | SFPP does not utilize diesel at their facility. |
| -- | 7 | Removed condition | SFPP does not utilize diesel at their facility. |
| 8-11 | 8-9 | Extracted the monitoring/recordkeeping requirements into new conditions. | Clarity and consistency. |

| New Permit Condition Number | Old Permit Condition Number | Description of Change | Reason for Change |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 12 | 10 | None | None. |
| 13 | 24 | Updated applicable requirement and removed reference to 40 CFR 60.7 | Clarity. |
| 14 | 25 | Updated monitoring requirement | Specified monitoring requirements |
| 15-17 | 26 & 27 | Removed Condition 26.a.ii – requirement for a vapor recovery system and Condition 27.c – exempted tanks. | The requirements to equip tanks with a vapor recovery system and exemptions of specified tanks are not applicable the facility. |
| 18 | 28 | Changed applicable requirement | The facility has chosen the option to comply with 40 CFR part 60 subpart Kb by complying with requirement of 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. 40 CFR 60.110b(a) is still applicable to the facility. |
| -- | 29 | Removed monitoring/testing requirements of 40 CFR 60.113b | The facility is complying with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. |
| 19 | 30.b-30.e | Exacted monitoring requirements into a new condition | Clarity and consistency. |
| 20 | 30.a | Install additional 40 CFR 60.115b(b) requirement | The additional requirements are applicable because the facility has chosen to comply with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. |
| 20.a.iii | 48 | Moved condition | Clarity and consistency. |
| 21 | 20 | None | None. |
| 22 | 11 | Removed Conditions 11.b | The facility has complied with the dates specified. |
| 23 | 12 | None | None. |
| 24 | -- | Installed additional requirement | Notification requirement |
| 25.a | 13.a | Updated language | Clarity and consistency. |
| 25.b | 22.a | None | None. |
| 26 | 13.b | Updated language | Clarity and consistency. |
| 27-30 | -- | Installed 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW requirements | The additional requirements are applicable because the facility has opted to comply with 40 CFR part 63 subpart WW. |
| 31 | 31 | None | None. |
| 32 | 32 | None | None. |
| 33 | 33.a-33.c, 33.e & 33.f | Moved 40 CFR 60.505(c) requirement from EU: T-RACK section to EU: FGTVOG section of the permit. | Clarity and consistency. |

| New Permit Condition Number | Old Permit Condition Number | Description of Change | Reason for Change |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 34 | 20 | None | None. |
| 35 | 14 | Installed 40 CFR part 63 subpart BBBBBB Table 2 language as conditions and removed 40 CFR 63.11086(a) from the condition | Clarity and consistency. |
| 36 | 15 | Removed 40 CFR 63.11092(b)(1)(ii) condition for a vapor refrigeration unit (VRU) and installed 40 CFR 63.11092(b)(1)(iii) conditions for vapor combustion unit (VCU) | Facility has changed control device from a vapor refrigeration unit (VRU) to a vapor combustion unit (VCU). |
| 37 | 21 | None | None. |
| 38 | 16 & 22.a | Included all 40 CFR 63.11094 language into one condition | Clarity and consistency. |
| 39 | 16.d | None | None. |
| 40 | 33.h | Updated to reflect the removal of the VRU and the installation of VCU as the control device | The facility replaced the VRU with the VCU as the control device. |
| 41 | -- | Installed Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) requirements | Inclusion of applicable regulator language. |
| 42-51 | -- | Added new applicable state and local regulations for the VCU. | Inclusion of applicable regulatory language. |
| 52 | 34 | Moved this condition to EU: FGTVOC section of permit | Clarity and consistency. |
| 53 | 33.d | Moved this condition to EU: FGTVOC section of permit | Clarity and consistency. |
| 54 | 33.f | Moved this condition to EU: FGTVOC section of permit | Clarity and consistency. |
| 55 | 20 | Moved this condition to EU: FGTVOC section of permit | Clarity and consistency. |
| 56 | 17 | None | None. |
| 57 | 19 | Moved 40 CFR 63.11095(a) reporting section and added 40 CFR 63.11095(g) language. | 40 CFR 63.11095(g) language is required for EU: FGTVOC. |
| 58 | 16.e 19.c & 22.c | Consolidated federal language into one condition | Clarity and consistency. |
| 59-63 | -- | Installed conditions to regulate EU: TC, OWS, EtOH, SUMP, and OSU | Inclusion of applicable regulatory language. |
| 64 | 37 | Updated language and rule citation from OAR to LRAPA | 2018 LRAPA rule revision. |
| 65 | 38 | Add rule citation | 2018 LRAPA rule revision. |
| 66 | 23 | Updated PSEL table to include new criteria pollutants and emission units. Updated production limitation | Addition of VCU and new EUs emissions. Production limits now include ethanol unloading and Oil/water Separator limits. |
| 67 | -- | Installed formula required to calculate PSELs. | Clarity and consistency. |

| New Permit Condition Number | Old Permit Condition Number | Description of Change | Reason for Change |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 68 | -- | Installed emission factors required for Condition 70 formulas. Added GHGs requirement. | Clarity and consistency. |
| 69 | 40 | Updated language | Clarity and consistency. |
| 70-76 | 41-47 | None | None. |
| 77 | 49 | Updated condition language | Clarity and consistency. |
| 78 | 50 | None | None. |
| 79 | 51 | None | None. |
| 80 | -- | Updated EPA's address | Clarity and consistency. |
| 81 | 53.a | Updated condition | Inclusion of applicable reporting requirements. |
| 82 | 53.b & 53.c | Updated condition | Inclusion of applicable reporting requirements. |
| 83 | 52 | Updated GHG language | Clarity and consistency. |
| 84 | -- | Installed condition with other reporting requirements that the facility may be subject to | Clarity and consistency. |
| 85 | 54 | None | None. |
| 86 | 55 | None | None. |
| 87 | 56 | Updated to reflect other non-applicable federal regulations | Clarity and consistency. |
| G1-G29 | G1-G29 | Updated Open Burning to Outdoor Burning | 2018 LRAPA rule revision |

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

72. Section 70.6(a)(3) of the federal Title V permit rules, requires all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements be contained in Title V permits. In addition, where the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

However, the requirements to include in a permit testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance does not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor with respect to all emissions units and applicable requirement situations. It does not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emissions units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. Where compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for an insignificant emission unit is not threatened by a lack of a regular program of monitoring and where periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, then in this instance, the status quo (i.e., no monitoring) will meet section 70.6(a)(3). For this reason, this permit does not include any monitoring for insignificant emissions units and activities.

The Title V permit does include monitoring for all requirements that apply to significant emissions units in addition to the testing requirements in the permit. Periodic visible emissions observations are required for all particulate emissions sources. It is assumed that as long as these processes and controls are properly operated, the particulate emissions levels will be below the emissions limits specified in the permit. In addition, the permit includes monitoring of operating parameters for other emission units and pollution control devices.

GENERAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS

73. This section is provided so that the permittee and LRAPA will know what test methods should be used to measure pollutant emissions in the event that testing is conducted for any reason. This section does not by itself require the permittee to conduct any more testing than was previously included in the permit. Although the permit may not require testing because other routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, LRAPA and EPA always have the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct testing to confirm the compliance status. In either case, the methods to be used for testing in the event that testing is conducted are included in the permit. This is true for SIP as well as NSPS emission limits and standards.

SOURCE TEST RESULTS

74. This facility has conducted a number of source tests to comply with permit requirements. The table below shows the results of the test reports on file at LRAPA.

John Zink Vapor Combustion System

| Emission Device | Test Date | Production Rate During the Test | Results |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Vapor Combustion Unit (VCU) – John Zink Enclosed Flare | December 15, 2021 | 381,878 gallons of gasoline | 0.09 lb THC*/1000 gallons of gasoline (11.30 mg/l) |
| Vapor Combustion Unit (VCU) – John Zink Enclosed Flare | March 25, 2022 | 429,462 gallons of gasoline | 0.026 lb THC*/1000 gallons of gasoline (3.10 mg/l) |
| Vapor Combustion Unit (VCU) – John Zink Enclosed Flare | March 29, 2023 | 405,508 gallons of gasoline | 0.030 lb THC*/1000 gallons of gasoline (3.59 mg/l) |

*THC = Total Hydrocarbons

VCU – Thermal Oxidizer: Enclosed Flare

75. The facility is required to demonstrate compliance with the mass emission limitation of 35 mg/L (0.292 lb VOC/1,000 gallons). If SFPP modifies the operating parameters, such as a lower temperature or increases the current maximum flow from EU: TRACK, the permittee must retest the VCU within 180 days.

RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

76. The permit includes requirements for maintaining records of all testing, monitoring, and production information necessary for assuring compliance with the standards and calculating plant site emissions. The records of all monitoring specified in the Title V permit must be kept at the plant site for at least 5 years.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

77. The permit includes a requirement for submitting semi-annual and annual monitoring reports that include semi-annual compliance certifications. Excess emissions are required to be reported to LRAPA immediately as well as in a logbook attached to the annual report. Emissions fees reports are required annually.

COMPLIANCE HISTORY

78. The facility is regularly inspected by LRAPA. The following table indicates the FCE inspection history since 2014.

Full Compliance Evaluation

| Type of Inspection | Date | Results |
|--|------------|---------------|
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 01/24/2014 | In Compliance |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 08/16/2016 | In Compliance |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 07/19/2018 | In Compliance |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation: Delayed due to Covid. | 03/04/2021 | In Compliance |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 08/11/2023 | In Compliance |

79. On May 25, 2016, EPA Region 10 made an unannounced site visit to inspect SFPP with a FLIR camera. EPA found 13 areas of emissions with the FLIR camera. SFPP addressed all issues detected during the inspection.
80. Since the facility's August 27, 2015 – Title V renewal, LRAPA has not received any complaints, issued any violation notices or taken any enforcement action against the facility.

PUBLIC NOTICE

81. This permit was on public notice from October 25, 2023 to November 29, 2023. No comments were submitted in writing during the comment period. This proposed permit is being sent to EPA for a 45-day review period. LRAPA will request and EPA may agree to an expedited review if there were no substantive or adverse comments during the comment period.

If the EPA does not object in writing, any person may petition the EPA within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period to make such objection. Any such petition must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided for in OAR 340-218-0210, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise such objections within such period, or unless the grounds for such objection arose after such period.

EPA REVIEW

82. This proposed permit was sent to EPA on December 1, 2023, for a 45-day review period. Because no advance comments were received and there were no substantive changes to the permit after the public comment period, LRAPA requested, and EPA agree to expedited review. The public will have 105 days (45-day EPA review period plus 60-days) from the date of the proposed permit was sent to EPA to appeal the permit with EPA.

DETAIL SHEETS AND CALCULATION TABLES

| Maximum Plant Site Emissions Levels (PSELS) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Criteria Pollutants | | | | | | | | HAP Emissions | | | | | | | |
| Emission Units | PM | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | CO | NO _x | SO ₂ | VOC | Benzene | Ethyl-benzene | n-Hexane | Toluene | Xylenes | 2,2,2-Trimethyl-pentane | POM as 16-PAH | Total HAP |
| TANKs: (EUs: FR, IFR, & EFR) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 122.50 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 2.22 | 0.91 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.04 | 4.54 |
| Loading Racks 1-5: (EU: T-RACK) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 416.63 | 3.20 | 0.36 | 11.38 | 4.62 | 1.78 | 2.84 | 0.18 | 24.34 |
| Enclosed Flare (VCU) | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 11.93 | 44.31 | 0.28 | 2.39 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| Valves, Pumps, & Flanges (EU: FGTVOC) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| Tank Cleaning : (EU: TC) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.29 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| Oil/Water Separator including Vaults and Holding Pond (EU: QWS) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.57 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.87 |
| Ethanol Unloading (EU: EtOH) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Sumps (EU: SUMP) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| Offspec Unloading (EU: OSU) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.84 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| Subtotal for EUs | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 11.93 | 44.31 | 0.28 | 580.88 | 3.86 | 0.47 | 14.16 | 5.98 | 2.27 | 3.66 | 0.24 | 30.64 |
| Aggregate Insignificant Activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roof Landing Losses | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.03 |
| Prover | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Additive Tanks | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Subtotal for Agg Ins Activities | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| TOTAL (tons/yr) | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 11.93 | 44.31 | 0.28 | 581.46 | 3.87 | 0.47 | 14.17 | 5.98 | 2.28 | 3.67 | 0.24 | 30.65 |

| Pollutant | Baseline ⁽¹⁾ | Netting Basis ⁽²⁾ | | Plant Site Emission Limit (PSEL) | | | Calculated PTE Emissions | Increase over the Netting Basis | SER | 2022 Actual Emissions |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | | Previous | Proposed | Previous PSEL | Proposed PSEL | PSEL Increase | | | | |
| | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) | (tons/yr) |
| PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2.6 | -21.4 | 2.56 | 2.6 | 25.0 | 1.20 |
| PM ₁₀ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2.6 | -11.4 | 2.56 | 2.6 | 15.0 | 0.48 |
| PM _{2.5} | NA | NA | 0 | 9 | 2.6 | -6.4 | 2.56 | 2.6 | 10.0 | 0.30 |
| CO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 12 | -87.1 | 11.93 | 11.9 | 99.0 | 0.21 |
| NO _x ⁽³⁾ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 0.0 | 44.31 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 0.14 |
| SO ₂ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.28 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 0.01 |
| VOC | 569 | 480 | 569 | 472 | 581 | 108.9 | 580.88 | 11.9 | 39.0 | 68.25 |
| Single HAP ⁽³⁾ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0.0 | 14.16 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 0.93 |
| Combined HAP ⁽³⁾ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0.0 | 30.64 | 24.0 | 25.0 | 2.94 |
| GHG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,000 | 49,519 | -24,481 | 49,520 | -24,481 | 75,000 | 5,346 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) Baseline emission rate (BER) for VOC was adjusted for the inclusion of the new methodology for calculating emissions from tanks (EU: FR, IFR, & EFR), tank cleaning (EU: TC) emissions, the inclusion of the vaults and holding pond in EU: OWS emissions, EU: SUMP emissions and fugitive | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) BER for PM, PM ₁₀ , CO, NO _x and SO _x is zero (0) as these pollutants were not evaluated. | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1) Baseline for PM _{2.5} was not established in accordance with LRAPA 42-0048(3). | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Proposed netting basis is based on the updated VOC baseline. Netting has been corrected to reflect the change in the updated VOC BER, which was established. | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) NO _x , single and combined HAP PSELs is set per LRAPA 42-0041(2), with the potential to emit greater than or equal to the SER. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Baseline Adjustments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Emission Units | PM | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | CO | NO _x | SO ₂ | VOC | Benzene | Ethyl-benzene | n-Hexane | Toluene | Xylenes | 2,2,2-Trimethyl-pentane | POM as 16-PAH | Total HAP |
| TANKS: (EUs: FR, IFR, & EFR) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 122.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Loading racks vapor control system with VCU (EU: T-RACK) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 416.63 | 3.20 | 0.36 | 11.38 | 4.62 | 1.78 | 2.84 | 0.18 | 24.34 |
| Tank Cleaning (EU: TC) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.29 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| Oil/Water Separator with Vaults and Holding Pond (EU: OWS) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.57 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.87 |
| Sumps (EU: Sumps) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | Title V | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| Valves, Pumps, & Flanges (EU: FGTVOG) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| Subtotal for EUs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 568.98 | 3.45 | 0.38 | 11.85 | 4.99 | 1.92 | 3.02 | 0.19 | 25.81 |

| Information on Throughput | | |
|---|--|---------------------|
| Maximum Requested Throughput | | |
| 51,633,462 | Barrels | per year |
| 42 | gallons | per barrel |
| 2,168,605,404 | gallon | per year |
| 1,734,884,323 | Gal/yr | Gasoline Throughput |
| 433,721,081 | Gal/yr | Diesel Throughput |
| 80% | Assumed Gasoline Throughput | |
| 20% | Assumed Diesel Throughput | |
| | | |
| Throughput with Limit (40% Gasoline Throughput) | | |
| 51,633,462 | Barrels | per year |
| 42 | gallons | per barrel |
| 2,168,605,404 | gallon | per year |
| 693,953,729 | Gal/yr | Gasoline Throughput |
| 433,721,081 | Gal/yr | Diesel Throughput |
| 40% | Assumed of the 80% for Gasoline Throughput | |
| 20% | Assumed Diesel Throughput | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-01 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 412,845 | Working Loss | 1,214.54 | | | | | 114.03 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 52.44 | | | | | | | |
| EG-02 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 824,962 | Working Loss | 1,677.52 | | | | | 160.20 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 102.44 | | | | | | | |
| EG-03 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 572,890 | Working Loss | 1,547.75 | | | | | 145.66 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 70.70 | | | | | | | |
| EG-04 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 206,828 | Working Loss | 1,366.66 | | | | | 125.35 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 26.07 | | | | | | | |
| EG-05 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 412,845 | Working Loss | 1,465.64 | | | | | 136.46 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 50.55 | | | | | | | |
| EG-08 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 210,000 | Working Loss | 1,366.66 | | | | | 125.41 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 26.80 | | | | | | | |
| EG-09 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 210,000 | Working Loss | 1,366.66 | | | | | 125.35 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 26.07 | | | | | | | |
| EG-10 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 412,845 | Working Loss | 1,465.64 | | | | | 136.46 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 50.55 | | | | | | | |
| EG-11 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 412,846 | Working Loss | 1,465.64 | | | | | 136.46 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 50.55 | | | | | | | |
| EG-12 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 215,936 | Working Loss | 1,368.23 | | | | | 125.66 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 28.01 | | | | | | | |
| EG-13 | Vertical Fixed | Distillate Fuel Oil #2 | 1,856,164 | Working Loss | 2,206.90 | | | | | 217.75 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 212.56 | | | | | | | |
| EG-14 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 226,800 | Rim Seal Loss | 61.84 | 33.78 | 60.67 | 93.94 | 4.19 | 130.17 | 8.44 | 34.76 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,794.83 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Deck Fitting Loss | 634.67 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rim Seal Loss | 51.11 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,096.12 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 524.51 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rim Seal Loss | 72.14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,493.53 | | | | | | | |
| | Deck Fitting Loss | 740.37 | | | | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-15 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 126,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 517.94 | 45.50 | 82.29 | 126.91 | 5.64 | 179.75 | 11.36 | 48.90 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,756.73 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 613.79 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Seam Loss | 64.94 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 428.04 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,817.55 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 507.26 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Seam Loss | 53.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 604.20 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 4,695.91 | | | | | | | |
| Deck Fitting Loss | 716.02 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck Seam Loss | 75.75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EG-16 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 1,050,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 94.31 | 22.11 | 40.64 | 62.11 | 2.73 | 92.33 | 5.52 | 24.59 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 777.16 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rim Seal Loss | 77.94 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,362.96 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 642.28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rim Seal Loss | 110.02 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,271.61 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Deck Fitting Loss | 906.60 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | EG-17 | Internal Floating | | | | | | | |
| Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.28 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck Fitting Loss | 777.16 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rim Seal Loss | 77.94 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | Withdrawal Loss | 1,362.96 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Deck Fitting Loss | 642.28 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rim Seal Loss | 110.02 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Withdrawal Loss | 2,271.61 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline (RVP 9) | Deck Fitting Loss | 906.60 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EG-18 | Internal Floating | 1,050,000 | | | Rim Seal Loss | 911.67 | 33.27 | 61.82 | 93.92 | 4.09 | 144.10 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,725.93 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 701.40 | | | | | | | |
| Rim Seal Loss | | | | 753.44 | | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 579.67 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Rim Seal Loss | 1,063.52 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,634.57 | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline (RVP 9) | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 818.23 | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-19 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 1,764,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 1,180.69 | 17.46 | 34.93 | 50.96 | 2.10 | 94.77 | 4.34 | 23.04 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,398.06 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 782.83 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 975.76 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,048.55 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 646.96 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 1,377.34 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,747.58 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 913.22 | | | | | | | |
| EG-20 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 525,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 67.25 | 31.01 | 55.77 | 86.29 | 3.85 | 120.06 | 7.74 | 32.92 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,564.91 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 604.77 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 55.58 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,923.68 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 499.81 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 78.46 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,206.14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 705.50 | | | | | | | |
| EG-22 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 840,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 532.68 | 22.23 | 41.53 | 62.90 | 2.73 | 98.02 | 5.54 | 25.58 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.77 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 595.33 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 449.95 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,363.33 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 501.34 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 668.70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,272.22 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 750.04 | | | | | | | |
| EG-23 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 252,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 310.73 | 37.72 | 68.05 | 105.10 | 4.68 | 147.76 | 9.42 | 40.33 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,116.18 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 567.72 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 262.47 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,337.14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 477.87 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 390.07 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,895.23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 715.57 | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-24 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 588,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 441.09 | 39.63 | 71.66 | 110.54 | 4.91 | 156.51 | 9.89 | 42.58 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,271.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 564.13 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 369.42 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,181.33 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 470.81 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 543.45 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 4,362.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 697.82 | | | | | | | |
| EG-25 | Domed External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 210,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 61.96 | 32.76 | 57.56 | 90.24 | 4.09 | 116.45 | 8.19 | 33.05 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,723.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 42.75 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 51.33 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,044.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 35.42 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 72.67 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,408.32 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 50.14 | | | | | | | |
| EG-26 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 252,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 308.77 | 56.41 | 100.72 | 156.48 | 7.01 | 212.89 | 14.09 | 58.97 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 4,674.27 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 564.13 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 258.60 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,116.18 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 470.81 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 380.42 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 6,232.37 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 697.82 | | | | | | | |
| EG-29 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 210,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 352.87 | 33.05 | 59.97 | 92.33 | 4.09 | 132.04 | 8.25 | 35.76 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,726.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 569.86 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 295.54 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,044.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 475.65 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 434.76 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,408.32 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 704.84 | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-30 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 210,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 352.87 | 33.05 | 59.97 | 92.33 | 4.09 | 132.04 | 8.25 | 35.76 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,726.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 569.86 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 295.54 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,044.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 475.65 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 434.76 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,408.32 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 704.84 | | | | | | | |
| EG-31 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 294,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 352.87 | 33.05 | 59.97 | 92.33 | 4.09 | 132.04 | 8.25 | 35.76 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,726.66 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 569.86 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 295.54 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,044.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 475.65 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 434.76 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,408.32 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 704.84 | | | | | | | |
| EG-32 | External Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 420,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 396.98 | 29.43 | 53.72 | 82.43 | 3.64 | 120.04 | 7.34 | 32.25 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,423.70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 564.13 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 332.48 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.77 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 470.81 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 489.11 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,029.62 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 697.82 | | | | | | | |
| EG-35 | Vertical Fixed Floating | Distillate Fuel Oil No. 2 | 412,845 | Working Loss | 1,465.64 | | | | | 136.46 | | |
| | | | | Breathing Loss | 50.55 | | | | | | | |
| EG-36 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 1,134,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 100.50 | 20.71 | 37.91 | 58.07 | 2.56 | 85.32 | 5.17 | 22.83 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,703.76 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 649.10 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 83.05 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,277.82 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 536.44 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 117.23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,129.70 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 757.21 | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-37 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 1,470,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 65.71 | 31.76 | 57.10 | 88.36 | 3.94 | 122.90 | 7.93 | 33.70 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,626.65 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 619.72 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 54.30 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,969.99 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 512.16 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 76.65 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 3,283.31 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 722.94 | | | | | | | |
| EG-38 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 704,970 | Rim Seal Loss | 206.14 | 26.96 | 48.84 | 75.25 | 3.34 | 107.12 | 6.73 | 29.07 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,224.95 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 538.29 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 170.36 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,668.72 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 444.87 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 240.48 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,781.19 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 627.95 | | | | | | | |
| EG-39 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 1,050,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 94.31 | 22.05 | 40.17 | 61.71 | 2.73 | 89.33 | 5.50 | 24.07 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,817.28 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 614.05 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 77.94 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,362.96 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 507.47 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 110.02 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 2,271.61 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 716.32 | | | | | | | |
| EG-40 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 2,520,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 146.88 | 14.37 | 28.00 | 41.43 | 1.74 | 72.24 | 3.57 | 18.00 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,160.15 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 1,134.05 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 121.38 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 870.11 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 937.22 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 171.34 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,450.19 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 1,322.93 | | | | | | | |

| Max Limit of 100% for Gasoline and 100% Throughput for Diesel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | | | | | POUNDS PER YEAR | | | | | | | |
| Tank # | Roof Type | Type of Fuel | Volume (gallons) | Type of Loss | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| EG-41 | Internal Floating | Distillate Fuel Oil No. 2 | 2,520,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 1.78 | | | | | 105.11 | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,160.64 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 5.50 | | | | | | | |
| EG-42 | Internal Floating | Gasoline (RVP 15) | 2,520,000 | Rim Seal Loss | 146.88 | 15.09 | 29.27 | 43.43 | 1.83 | 74.78 | 3.76 | 18.73 |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,220.58 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 1,134.05 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 13.5) | | Rim Seal Loss | 121.38 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 915.43 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 937.22 | | | | | | | |
| | | Gasoline (RVP 9) | | Rim Seal Loss | 171.34 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Withdrawal Loss | 1,525.72 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Deck Fitting Loss | 1,322.93 | | | | | | | |
| Pollutant | | | | | VOC | Xylene | Trimethyl-pentane | Toluene | Naphthalene | Hexane | Ethyl-benzene | Benzene |
| TOTAL (lb/yr) | | | | | 245,072.19 | 653.51 | 1,191.20 | 1,829.17 | 80.80 | 4,443.35 | 163.10 | 712.99 |
| TOTAL (tpy) | | | | | 122.54 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.91 | 0.04 | 2.22 | 0.08 | 0.36 |
| EPA AP-42, Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources: Chapter 7, Section 7.1: Organic Liquid Storage Tanks, amended 2020 was used to calculate emissions from the tanks. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Tanks: Diesel Vapor HAP Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| HAP | Weight % Diesel ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | lb/yr | tpy |
| Benzene | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| n-Hexane | 9.00% | 1,783.62 | 0.89 |
| Toluene | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Xylenes | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.00% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Totals | | 1,783.62 | 0.89 |
| 1 Weight percentage for diesel vapor are from Identification of Volatile Organic Compound Species Profiles, CARB 1991 | | | |

| Tanks: Gasoline Vapor HAP Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| HAP | Weight % Gasoline ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | lb/yr | tpy |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 2,026.62 | 1.01 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 225.18 | 0.11 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 3,602.87 | 1.80 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 2,927.33 | 1.46 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 1,125.90 | 0.56 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 1,801.44 | 0.90 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 112.59 | 0.06 |
| Totals | | 11,821.92 | 5.91 |
| 1. Weight percentages for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II), Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001, Table 11.3-2. | | | |

| Tanks Maximum Throughput HAPs Emission by Speciation | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|------------------|-------------|
| HAP | Diesel | Gasoline | Total | |
| | lb/yr | lb/yr | lb/yr | tons/yr |
| Benzene | 0.00 | 2,026.62 | 2,026.62 | 1.01 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.00 | 225.18 | 225.18 | 0.11 |
| n-Hexane | 0.00 | 3,602.87 | 3,602.87 | 1.80 |
| Toluene | 0.00 | 2,927.33 | 2,927.33 | 1.46 |
| Xylenes | 0.00 | 1,125.90 | 1,125.90 | 0.56 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.00 | 1,801.44 | 1,801.44 | 0.90 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.00 | 112.59 | 112.59 | 0.06 |
| Totals | | | 11,821.92 | 5.91 |

| T-RACK VOC PTE Emission Calculations (Maximum Throughput) | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Product ⁽¹⁾ | Throughput | Fugitive Vapors EF ⁽³⁾ (lb/1,000 gals) | VRU Stack EF Factors ⁽⁴⁾ (lb/1,000 gals) | Fugitives (lb/yr) | VCU (or VRU) Stack (lb/yr) | Total (lb/yr) | Total (tpy) |
| | (1,000 gals) ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| Gasoline RVP 12 | 1,734,884 | 0.11524 | 0.292 | 199,925 | 506,586 | 706,511 | 353.26 |
| Diesel | 433,721 | 0.00023 | 0.292 | 98 | 126,647 | 126,744 | 63.37 |
| Total | | | | | | 833,255 | 416.63 |

(1) Based on the average RVP, average was calculated based on data from the Microsoft SQL Server Database System: Emission Inventory Tracking (EIT) application and Gasoline RVP 12 is the average of the gasoline products that are stored. The gasoline type stored are RVP 15, 13.5 and 9.

(2) Permit Limit: Loading Racks are limited to 51,633,462 barrels (2,168,605,404 gallons) per 12-month rolling period. It is assumed that 80% of the loaded product is gasoline and 20% loaded is diesel.

(3) Fugitive Emission Factors are based on EPA AP-42 Fifth Edition, Volume 1: Chapter 5.2: Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids, Loading Loss Equation

$$Fugitive\ Emission\ Factor = 12.46 \left| \frac{(S * P * M)}{T} \right| (1 - 0.987)$$

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Where: | 12.46 = | Constant | | | |
| | S = | A saturation factor found in AP-42, Chapter 5.2, Table 5.2-1 | | | |
| | P = | True vapor pressure of liquid loaded, pounds per square inch absolute (psia) (See EPA AP-42, Chapter 7.1) | | | |
| | M = | Molecular weight of vapors, pounds per pound-mole (lb/lb-mole) (See EPA AP-42, Chapter 7.1) | | | |
| | T = | Temperature of bulk liquid loaded, °R (°F + 460) | | | |
| | eff | Capture efficiency = 98.7%: The collection efficiency suggested by EPA, in EPA's Notice of Proposed Changed to AP-42 Section 5.2, dated December 15, 1995 for trucks meeting a 3-inch water column decay test. | | | |
| Fuel | Saturation Factor | Vapor Pressure ⁽⁵⁾ | Molecular Weight ⁽⁶⁾ | Temperature | Fugitive Vapor EF |
| | | (psia) | (lb/lb-mole) | R= F + 460 | (lb/1,000 gal) |
| Gasoline RVP 12 | 1 | 5.7584 | 63.4167 | 513.3 | 0.11524 |
| Diesel | 1 | 0.0055 | 130 | 513.3 | 0.00023 |

(4) VCU Stack Emission Factor: Per 40 CFR 60.502(b) of EPA 40 CFR part 60 subpart XX - Standards of Performance for Bulk Gasoline Terminals applies to the SFPP Eugene terminal. This NSPS includes an emission limit of 35 milligrams per liter (0.292 lb/1000 gal) of gasoline loaded. Therefore, the emission factor used to calculate VOC emissions as a cannot exceed this limit (amount of emissions). The facility has taken a limit on HAP emissions under the major source threshold so the facility is not subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart R: National Emission Standards for Gasoline Distribution Facilities (Bulk Gasoline Terminals and Pipeline Breakout Stations)

(5) Vapor Pressure: based on the average vapor pressure, average was calculated using the data from the updated TANKs information in EPA AP-42 Fifth Edition, Volume 1: Chapter 7.1.

(6) Molecular Weight: based on the average molecular weight, average was calculated using the data from the updated TANKs information in EPA AP-42 Fifth Edition, Volume 1: Chapter 7.1.

| T-RACK: Maximum Throughput of Total of All Fuels Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| HAP | Gasoline (lb/yr) | Diesel (lb/yr) | Ethanol (lb/yr) | HAP Emissions | |
| | | | | lb/year | ton/year |
| Benzene | 6,358.60 | 0.00 | 31.79 | 6,390.39 | 3.20 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 706.51 | 0.00 | 3.53 | 710.04 | 0.36 |
| n-Hexane | 11,304.17 | 11,407.00 | 56.52 | 22,767.69 | 11.38 |
| Toluene | 9,184.64 | 0.00 | 45.92 | 9,230.56 | 4.62 |
| Xylenes | 3,532.55 | 0.00 | 17.66 | 3,550.22 | 1.78 |
| 2,2,4- Trimethylpentane | 5,652.09 | 0.00 | 28.26 | 5,680.35 | 2.84 |
| POM as 16-PAH | 353.26 | 0.00 | 2.12 | 355.37 | 0.18 |
| Total for all Fuels | | | | 48,684.62 | 24.34 |

| Ethanol Unloading Throughput Information (EU: EtOH) | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| Maximum amount of ethanol unloaded: | 216,860,540 | gallons/year |
| Capacity of one tanker truck: | 8,400 | gallons |
| Total number of trucks | 25,817 | per year |
| Assumed compartments per truck: | 1 | |
| Maximum number of unloading events: | 25,817 | per year |
| Pump sleeve volume (Throughput assumed per loading event): | 300 | gallons |
| Maximum product for emission estimation: | 7,745,100 | gallons |

| Ethanol Unloading VOC PTE Emission Calculations (EU: EtOH) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------------|------|
| Fuel | Saturation Factor | Vapor Pressure psia | Molecular weight (lb/lb-mole) | Temperature R = F + 460 | Emission Factor ⁽¹⁾ (lb/1000 gal) | Throughputs | | Annual Emission | |
| | | | | | | (gal/day) | (gal/yr) | lb/yr | tpy |
| Denatured Ethanol RVP 4 | 1 | 1.7 | 48.00 | 513.28 | 1.98 | 21,219 | 7,745,100 | 15,342 | 7.67 |

(1) Uncontrolled Emission Factor for Unloading is based on EPA AP-42, *Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*: Chapter 5 Petroleum Industry Section 5.2 Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids

$$L_L = 12.46 \times \frac{SPM}{T}$$

Where:

| | |
|------------------|--|
| L _L = | Loading Loss, pounds per 1000 gallons (lb/10 ³ gal) of liquid loaded |
| S = | A Saturation Factor: Mode of Operation: Submerged loading: dedicated vapor balance service with a S-Factor = 1 (See AP-42, Chapter 5, Section 5.2 Table 5.2-1) |
| P = | True vapor pressure of liquid loaded, pounds per square inch absolute (psia) (See AP-42, Chapter 7, Section 7.1: "Organic Liquid Storage Tanks |
| M = | Molecular Weight of Vapors (lb/lb-mole) |
| T = | Temperature °R (F+460): Daily average ambient temperature for Eugene of 53.28 °F |

Ethanol Unloading HAP Speciation Data (Weight %) Calculations (EU: EtOH)

| HAP | Weight % Baseline Gasoline | Emissions (lb/yr) | Emissions (ton/yr) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene | 0.045% | 6.90 | 0.0035 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.005% | 0.77 | 0.0004 |
| n-Hexane | 0.080% | 12.27 | 0.0061 |
| Toluene | 0.065% | 9.97 | 0.0050 |
| Xylenes | 0.025% | 3.84 | 0.0019 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.040% | 6.14 | 0.0031 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.003% | 0.46 | 0.0002 |
| Totals | | 40.35 | 0.02 |

| John Zink Enclosed Flare (VCU): 80 MMBtu/hr: 4 Burners | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|
| Pollutant | Max Design Capacity (MMscf) | Emission Factors (lb/MMscf) | Hourly Emissions (lb/hr) | Annual Capacity Emissions (tons/year) | SFPP Requested Limits (tons/yr) | Annual Limited Emissions based on NO_x limit | SER (tons/year) |
| PM/PM10/PM2.5 | 681.71 | 7.50 | 0.58 | 2.56 | NA | 2.25 | 24/14/9 |
| CO | 681.71 | 35 | 2.72 | 11.93 | NA | 10.50 | 99 |
| NO _x | 681.71 | 130 | 10.12 | 44.31 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| SO ₂ | 681.71 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.28 | NA | 0.25 | 39 |
| VOC | 681.71 | 7.00 | 0.54 | 2.39 | NA | 2.10 | 39 |
| The Flare can operate 8,760 hours per year | | | | | | | |
| The Flare is rated at 80 MMBtu/hr with 4 burners | | | | | | | |
| The Flare operates at a maximum rate of 681.71 million cubic feet per year | | | | | | | |
| Gaseous emission factors were obtained from South Coast Air Quality Management District, Rule 1118, "Control of Emissions from Refinery Flares", Adopted February 13, 1998 (Amended November 4, 2005)(Amended July 7, 2017): Natural Gas assuming HHV of natural gas is 1,028 Btu/scf | | | | | | | |
| Annual Emissions (in tons) = Maximum gas usage x emission factor | | | | | | | |
| Annual Emissions based on NO _x limit of 39 tons divided up 44.31 tons (PTE) equals 0.88 (88%). | | | | | | | |

| NO_x limit basis for reduction for all other pollutants | | |
|--|--------|-----|
| NO _x PTE | 44.31 | tpy |
| NO _x Limit | 39 | tpy |
| Percent | 88.01% | |

| John Zink Enclosed Flare (VCU): HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| HAP | Weight % Baseline | Emissions |
| | Gasoline ⁽¹⁾ | ton/year |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 0.02 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 0.00 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 0.04 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 0.03 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 0.01 |
| 2,2,4- Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 0.02 |
| POM as 16-PAH | 0.05% | 0.00 |
| Totals | | 0.13 |
| 1. Weight percentages for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II), Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001, | | |

| EU: FGTVOG Information: | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Total Throughput | 2,168,605,404 | gallons/year |
| Gasoline Throughput 80% of Total | 1,734,884,323 | gallons/year |
| Diesel Throughput (20% of Total) | 433,721,081 | gallons/year |

| GHG Combined Emission Factor | | |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Heat Value - Motor Gasoline ⁽¹⁾ | 0.125 | MMBtu/gal |
| Motor Gasoline GHG Heat Value is based the combustion 'Fuel Lookup' tab of DEQ's <i>Fuel Combustion Greenhouse Gas Calculator</i> . | | |
| Criteria Pollutants | | |
| Pollutant | Motor Gasoline Emission Factor | EF Units |
| GHG (CO ₂ equivalent) | 155.37 | lb/MMBtu |
| MMBtu is equal to 0.96432 Mcf and to convert Mcf to MMcf must multiply by 0.001 | | |
| GHG-Related Emission Factors | | |
| Pollutant | Motor Gasoline (kg/MMBtu) ⁽¹⁾ | GWP ⁽²⁾ |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) | 70.22 | 1 |
| Methane (CH ₄) | 3.00E-03 | 25 |
| Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O) | 6.00E-04 | 298 |
| 1. Motor Gasoline GHG emission factors are based the combustion 'Fuel Lookup' on DEQ <i>Fuel Combustion Greenhouse Gas Calculator</i> . | | |
| 2. Greenhouse warming potential | | |

| Fugitive VOC (EU: FGTVOG) PTE Emission Calculations | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| Count | | | Emission Factors ⁽¹⁾ | | Emissions ⁽²⁾ | | Total Emissions | |
| | | | Light Liquid | Gas | Light Liquid | Gas | | |
| Component | Light | Gas | (kg/hr/component) | | (lb/yr) | (lb/yr) | lb/yr | typ |
| Valves | 448 | 793 | 4.30E-05 | 1.30E-05 | 371.26 | 198.68 | 569.93 | 0.28 |
| Pumps | 10 | 23 | 5.40E-04 | 6.50E-05 | 104.07 | 28.81 | 132.88 | 0.07 |
| Fittings (flanges and connectors) | 723 | 1,451 | 8.00E-06 | 4.20E-05 | 111.47 | 1,174.47 | 1,285.94 | 0.64 |
| Total | | | | | | | 1,989 | 0.99 |
| (1) The emission factors used are from Table 2-3 of <i>Equipment Leak Emission Estimates</i> , US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA-453/R-95-017, November 1995 | | | | | | | | |
| (2) The conversion factor used to convert kilograms to pounds is 2.2. | | | | | | | | |

| EU: FGTVOG - Gasoline Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| HAP | Weight % ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 17.90 | 0.01 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 1.99 | 0.00 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 31.82 | 0.02 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 25.85 | 0.01 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 9.94 | 0.00 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 15.91 | 0.01 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| Totals | | 104.41 | 0.05 |
| 1. Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from <i>Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II)</i> Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001. Table 11.3.2. | | | |

| 2022 Storage Tank Cleaning (EU: TC) PTE Emissions - TANKs Software | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-----------|
| Tank Identification | Tank Type | VOC | Product |
| | | lb/yr | |
| EG-14 | Internal Floating Roof | 395.95 | Gasoline |
| EG-15 | Internal Floating Roof | 222.72 | Gasoline |
| EG-16 | Internal Floating Roof | 582.15 | Gasoline |
| EG-17 | Internal Floating Roof | 582.15 | Gasoline |
| EG-18 | Internal Floating Roof | 582.15 | Gasoline |
| EG-19 | Internal Floating Roof | 789.46 | Gasoline |
| EG-20 | Internal Floating Roof | 431.70 | Gasoline |
| EG-22 | External Floating Roof | 403.38 | Gasoline |
| EG-23 | External Floating Roof | 188.89 | Gasoline |
| EG-24 | External Floating Roof | 332.00 | Gasoline |
| EG-25 | Domed Floating Roof | 395.95 | Gasoline |
| EG-26 | External Floating Roof | 188.89 | Gasoline |
| EG-29 | External Floating Roof | 268.98 | Gasoline |
| EG-30 | External Floating Roof | 268.98 | Gasoline |
| EG-31 | External Floating Roof | 268.98 | Gasoline |
| EG-32 | External Floating Roof | 303.84 | Gasoline |
| EG-36 | Internal Floating Roof | 623.61 | Gasoline |
| EG-37 | Internal Floating Roof | 424.63 | Gasoline |
| EG-38 | Internal Floating Roof | 481.70 | Gasoline |
| EG-39 | Internal Floating Roof | 582.15 | Gasoline |
| EG-40 | Internal Floating Roof | 1,018.49 | Gasoline |
| EG-41 | Internal Floating Roof | 1,018.49 | Gasoline |
| EG-42 | Internal Floating Roof | 2,233.39 | Gasoline |
| Total | | 12,588.63 | lb/year |
| | | 6.29 | tons/year |
| 1. Cleaning losses are based on EPA AP-42 EPA AP-42, <i>Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources</i> : Chapter 7 Section 7.1: Organic Liquid Storage Tanks, amended 2020, Equation 7.1.3.4 Tank Cleaning Emissions | | | |
| 2. Cleaning losses are based on maximum throughput of 2,168,605,404 gals/year: 20% Diesel and 80% Gasoline | | | |
| Where: | $L_{FV} = L_p + L_{CV}$ | | |
| | L_{FV} = Total emissions due to forced ventilation during a tank cleaning event (in pounds) | | |
| | L_p = Vapor space purge emissions associated with the first air change following commencement of forced ventilation (in pounds) | | |
| | L_{CV} = Emissions from continued forced ventilation following the first air change (in pounds) | | |

| EU: TC - Gasoline Vapor HAP Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| HAP | Weight % | Emissions | |
| | Gasoline ⁽¹⁾ | lb/yr | tpy |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 113.30 | 0.06 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 12.59 | 0.01 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 201.42 | 0.10 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 163.65 | 0.08 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 62.94 | 0.03 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 100.71 | 0.05 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 6.29 | 0.00 |
| Totals | | 660.90 | 0.33 |
| 1 Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II) | | | |

| EU: OWS - Oil Water Separator and Vaults VOC Emission Calculations | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Source | Throughput ⁽¹⁾ | | Emission Factor ⁽²⁾ | Emission Factor ⁽²⁾ | Total Emissions | |
| | gal/month | gal/yr | (lb/1,000 gal) | lb/gal | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Oil Water Separator | 2,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.0002 | 10,000 | 5.0 |
| Vaults (Debris Traps) | 2,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.0002 | 10,000 | 5.0 |
| Totals | | | | | 20,000 | 10 |
| (1) Throughput is based on the maximum amount of rain the facility could potentially receive in a month and year. | | | | | | |
| (2) Fugitive Emission Factors are based on <i>EPA AP-42: Compilations of Air Emission Factors</i> , Fifth Edition, Volume 1: Chapter 5, Section 5.1: Petroleum Refining, Table 5.1-3, using the controlled EF. | | | | | | |

| EU: OWS - Holding Pond VOC Emission Calculation | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Source | Surface Area | Emission Factor ⁽¹⁾ | VOC Emissions | |
| | (ft ²) | (lb/surface area) | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Holding Pond | 4,693 | 2.80 | 13,140 | 6.57 |
| (1) The emission factor used for the evaporative losses of the holding pond are based on South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) default emission factor for open pond/ditches at an oil and gas reduction facilities and refineries. | | | | |

| EU: OSW - Gasoline Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| HAPs | Weight % ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 298 | 0.1491 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 33 | 0.0166 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 530 | 0.2651 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 431 | 0.2154 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 166 | 0.0829 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 265 | 0.1326 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 17 | 0.0083 |
| Totals | | 1,740 | 0.87 |
| (1) Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II) Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001, Table 11.3.2. | | | |

| EU: SUMP Information: | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Prover, Rack and Main Line throughput | 1,445,400 | gallons per year |

| EU: SUMP VOC Calculations | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Sources of Emissions: | VOC Emission Factor (lb/1,000 gals)* | VOC Uncontrolled Emissions (lbs/yr) | VOC Emissions (tpy) |
| Submerged Fill | 7.30 | 10,551 | 5.28 |
| Underground tank breathing and emptying | 1.00 | 1,445 | 0.72 |
| Totals | | 11,997 | 6.00 |
| EPA AP-42, Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors, Volume 1, Chapter 5 - Section 5.2: Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids, Table 5.2-7 | | | |

| EU: SUMP - Gasoline Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| HAP | Weight % ¹ | Emissions | |
| | | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 107.971 | 0.0540 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 11.997 | 0.0060 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 191.949 | 0.0960 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 155.959 | 0.0780 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 59.984 | 0.0300 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 95.975 | 0.0480 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 5.998 | 0.0030 |
| Totals | | 629.83 | 0.31 |
| 1. Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from <i>Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II)</i> Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001. Table 11.3.2. | | | |

| EU: OSU - Offspec Unloading Information | | |
|--|---------|-------------------|
| Total number of trucks | 52 | trucks/year |
| Throughput assumed per unloading event | 8,000 | gallons per truck |
| Maximum offspec product for emission estimations | 416,000 | gallons per year |

| EU: OSU - VOC PTE Emission Calculations | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|----------|-----------------|------|
| Fuel | Saturation Factor | Vapor Pressure psia | Molecular weight (lb/lb-mole) | Temperature R = F + 460 | Emission Factor ⁽¹⁾ (lb/1000 gal) | Throughputs | | Annual Emission | |
| | | | | | | (gal/day) | (gal/yr) | lb/yr | tpy |
| Offspec | 1 | 5.7584 | 63.42 | 513.28 | 8.86 | 1,140 | 416,000 | 3,688 | 1.84 |
| <p>(1) Uncontrolled Emission Factor for Off Spec Fuel Unloading is based on EPA AP-42, <i>Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutants Emissions Factors, Volume 1</i>, Chapter 5, Section 5.2 Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Liquids</p> $L_L = 12.46 \times \frac{SPM}{T}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Where: | L _L = | Loading Loss, pounds per 1000 gallons (lb/10 ³ gal) of liquid loaded | | | | | | | |
| | S = | A Saturation Factor: Mode of Operation: Submerged loading: dedicated vapor balance service with a S-Factor = 1 (see AP-42, Chapter 5, Section 5.2 Table 5.2-1) | | | | | | | |
| | P = | True vapor pressure of liquid loaded, pounds per square inch absolute (psia) (See AP-42, Chapter 7, Section 7.1: "Organic Liquid Storage Tanks | | | | | | | |
| | M = | Molecular Weight of Vapors (lb/lb-mole) | | | | | | | |
| | T = | Temperature °R (F+460): Daily average ambient temperature for Eugene of 53.28 °F | | | | | | | |

| EU: OSU HAP Speciation Data (Weight %) Calculations | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| HAP | Weight % Baseline Gasoline | Emissions (lb/yr) | Emissions (ton/yr) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 33.19 | 0.0166 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 3.69 | 0.0018 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 59.00 | 0.0295 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 47.94 | 0.0240 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 18.44 | 0.0092 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 29.50 | 0.0148 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 1.84 | 0.0009 |
| Totals | | 193.61 | 0.10 |
| (1) Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II) Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001, Table | | | |

| Roof Landing Losses Calculaitons | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------|
| Equations 3-1, 3-7, and 3-18 and associated factors for roof landings from Chapter 7: Liquid Storage Tanks of U.S. EPA's AP-42, Fifth Edition (June 2020). | | | | |
| AP-42: Equation 3-1 | $L_{TL} = L_{SL} + L_{FL}$ | | | |
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit |
| 0.51 | L_{TL} | = | Total Losses during roof landing, ton per year (1 landing per year) | tons |
| 1015.954 | L_{TL} | = | Total Losses during roof landing, pounds per landing episode | lbs |
| 521.741 | L_{SL} | = | Standing idle losses during roof landing, pounds per landing episode | lbs |
| 494.212 | L_{FL} | = | Filling losses during roof landing, pounds per landing episode | lbs |
| | | | | |
| AP-42: Equation 3-10 | $L_{SL\ wind} = 0.57\ n_d\ D\ P^*\ M_v$ | | | |
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit |
| 521.741 | $L_{SL\ wind}$ | = | Standing idle loss due to wind, per landing episode | lbs |
| 0.57 | 0.57 | = | Constant | |
| 2 | N_d | = | Number of days that the tank is standing idle | day |
| 60 | D | = | Tank diameter | feet |
| 0.11385 | P^* | = | A vapor pressure function, dimensionless | |
| 67 | M_v | = | Stock vapor molecular weight | lb/lb-mole |
| | | | | |
| AP-42: Equation 3-4 | $L_{SL\ max} = 5.9 * D^2 * h_{le} * W_1$ | | | |
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit |
| 713664 | $L_{SL\ max}$ | = | Limit on standing idle loss, per landing episode | lbs |
| 5.9 | 5.9 | = | Constant (Equation 3-3: $(\pi/4 * 7.48)$) | gal/ft ³ |
| 60 | D | = | diameter of the tank | feet |
| 6 | h_{le} | = | Effective height of the stock liquid | feet |
| 5.6 | W_1 | = | Density of the liquid inside the tank | lb/gal |
| | | | | |

| AP-42: Equation 3-18 | $L_{FL} = \left(\frac{P_{VA} V_V}{RT_V} \right) M_V (C_{sf} S)$ | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit | |
| 494.212 | L_{FL} | = | Filling loss during roof landing | lbs | |
| 5.34361 | P_{VA} | = | True vapor pressure of the liquid within the tank | psia | |
| 16964.6 | V_V | = | Volume of the vapor space | ft ³ | |
| 10.731 | R | = | Ideal gas constant, 10.731 | psia-ft ³ /(lb-mole-°R) | |
| 527.27 | T_V | = | Average temperature of the vapor below the floating roof | °R | |
| 67 | M_V | = | Stock vapor molecular weight | lb/lb-mole | |
| 0.76733 | C_{sf} | = | Filling saturation correction factor for wind, 1 | | |
| 0.6 | S | = | Filling saturation factor, dimensionless (0.60 for full liquid heel; 0.50 for partial liquid heel) | | |
| | | | | | |
| AP-42: Equation 3-16 | $L_{FL} \leq (5.9 * D^2 * h_{le} * W_1) - L_{SL} + 0.15 * P_{VA} * V_V / R * T_V * M_V$ | | | | |
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit | |
| 494.212 | L_{FL} | = | Filling loss during roof landing | lbs | |
| 5.9 | 5.9 | = | Constant (Equation 3-3: $(\pi/4 * 7.48)$) | gal/ft ³ | |
| 60 | D | = | diameter of the tank | feet | |
| 6 | h_{le} | = | Effective height of the stock liquid | feet | |
| 5.6 | W_1 | = | Density of the liquid inside the tank, (5.6 lb/gal for gasoline) | lb/gal | |
| 521.741 | L_{SL} | = | Standing idle losses during roof landing, pounds per landing episode | lbs | |
| 5.34361 | P_{VA} | = | True vapor pressure of the liquid within the tank | psia | |
| 16964.6 | V_V | = | Volume of the vapor space | ft ³ | |
| 10.731 | R | = | Ideal gas constant, 10.731 | psia-ft ³ /(lb-mole-°R) | |
| 2 | n_d | = | number of days in a year, days/yr | | |
| 527.27 | T_V | = | Average temperature of the vapor below the floating roof | °R | |
| 67 | M_V | = | Stock vapor molecular weight | lb/lb-mole | |

| AP-42: Equation 3-21 | $C_{sf} = 1 - ((0.57 \cdot 1 \cdot D \cdot P^* \cdot M_v) - (1 \cdot K_E \cdot (P_{VA} \cdot V_v / R \cdot T_v) \cdot M_v \cdot K_s)) / (1 \cdot K_E \cdot (P_{VA} \cdot V_v / R \cdot T_v) \cdot M_v \cdot K_s) + ((P_{VA} \cdot V_v / R \cdot T_v) \cdot M_v \cdot (1 \cdot S))$ | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Data and Calculations | Where | | Description | Unit |
| 0.76733 | C_{sf} | = | Filling saturation correction factor for wind, dimensionless | |
| 1 | n_d | = | set equal to 1 | days |
| 0.22647 | K_E | = | Vapor space expansion factor, per day, calculated from Equations 1-5, 1-12 or 1-13 as appropriate, with the value of ΔP_B set equal to zero | |
| 16964.6 | V_v | = | Volume of the vapor space: $VV = (H_v \cdot \pi \cdot D^2) / 4$ | ft ³ |
| 6 | H_v | = | Height of the vapor space under the floating roof, D = tank diameter | feet |
| 60 | D | = | diameter of the tank | feet |
| 10.731 | R | = | Ideal gas constant, 10.731 | psia-ft ³ /(lb-mole-°R) |
| 67 | M_v | = | Stock vapor molecular weight | lb/lb-mole |
| 0.37047 | K_s | = | Standing idle saturation factor, dimensionless | |
| 0.6 | S | = | Filling saturation factor, dimensionless | |
| 0.11385 | P^* | = | Vapor pressure function, dimensionless | |
| 5.6 | W_1 | = | Density of the liquid inside the tank, (5.6 lb/gal for gasoline) | lb/gal |
| 5.34361 | P_{VA} | = | True Vapor pressure of the liquid within the tank | psia |
| 14.558 | P_A | = | Atmospheric pressure, psia = 14.558 psia from Table 7.1.7 for Eugene OR | psia |
| 527.27 | T_v | = | Average temperature of the vapor below the floating roof | °R |

| Roof Landing Losses: Gasoline Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| HAPs | Weight % ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 9.14 | 0.005 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 1.02 | 0.001 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 16.26 | 0.008 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 13.21 | 0.007 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 5.08 | 0.003 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | 0.80% | 8.13 | 0.004 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 0.51 | 0.000 |
| Totals | | | 0.03 |

(1) Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II) Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement Program, January 2001, Table 11.3.2.

| Prover: Conversion Factors | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------|-------------|
| 1 gallon (gal) | = | 0.00379 | m3 |
| 1 pounds per square inch (psi) | = | 703.07 | kg/m2 |
| R (ideal gas constant) | = | 8.314 | kPa/mol |
| 1 atmosphere (atm) | = | 101,325 | Pascal (Pa) |
| 1 gram | = | 0.0022 | lbs |


| Prover VOC Calculations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------|----------------|------|-------------|------------|---------------------|-----|-------------------|-------|---------------|--------|
| Number of Test per Year | True Vapor Pressure | | Volume of Test | | MW of Vapor | R Constant | Ambient Temperature | | VOC Emission/test | | VOC Emissions | |
| | (psi) | (kg/m2) | (gallons) | (m³) | (g/mole) | (kPa/mol) | (°F) | (K) | (gram) | (lbs) | (lb/yr) | (typ) |
| 12 | 5.7584 | 4,049 | 1176 | 4.46 | 63.4167 | 8.314 | 53.3 | 285 | 483 | 1.06 | 12.75 | 0.0064 |
| The VOC emission per test = (P*V*MW)/(R*T), assuming the vapor in the pipelines is saturated with gasoline vapor at the ambient temperature. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Prover: Gasoline Vapor HAPs Speciation Data (Weight %) | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| HAPs | Weight % ⁽¹⁾ | Emissions | |
| | | (lb/yr) | (tpy) |
| Benzene | 0.90% | 0.1148 | 0.00006 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.10% | 0.0128 | 0.00001 |
| n-Hexane | 1.60% | 0.2040 | 0.00010 |
| Toluene | 1.30% | 0.1658 | 0.00008 |
| Xylenes | 0.50% | 0.0638 | 0.00003 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylp | 0.80% | 0.1020 | 0.00005 |
| POM as 16-PAH (Naphthalene) | 0.05% | 0.0064 | 0.00000 |
| Totals | | 0.669 | 0.00 |
| (1) Weight percentage for gasoline vapor are from Gasoline Marketing (Stage I and Stage II) Volume III, Chapter 11, revised final, Area Source Committee, Emission Inventory Improvement | | | |

| Additive Tank VOC PTE | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Tank ID | Tank Type | Throughput (gal/yr) | Working Loss (lb/yr) | Total Breathing Loss (lb/yr) | Total VOC PTE (lb/yr) ⁽¹⁾ | Product Additive Name |
| Tank 1 | Vertical Tank | 108,678 | 9.67 | 17.10 | 26.77 | AP-297-15 |
| Tank 2 | Horizontal Tank | 69,324 | 6.17 | 10.02 | 16.19 | Nemo-1127 |
| Tank 3 | Horizontal Tank | 66,900 | 5.95 | 10.25 | 16.20 | MCC-AST-1402 |
| Tank 4 | Horizontal Tank | 46,056 | 4.10 | 6.89 | 10.99 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 5 | Horizontal Tank | 29,232 | 2.60 | 4.54 | 7.14 | HiTec-65016 |
| Tank 6 | Horizontal Tank | 30,132 | 2.68 | 4.21 | 6.89 | HiTec-65016 |
| Tank 9 | Horizontal Tank | 59,136 | 5.26 | 9.08 | 14.34 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 10 | Horizontal Tank | 33,000 | 2.94 | 5.48 | 8.42 | Chevron OGA 72040 |
| Tank 11 | Horizontal Tank | 58,524 | 5.21 | 8.77 | 13.98 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 12 | Horizontal Tank | 43,848 | 3.90 | 6.89 | 10.79 | Chevron OGA 72040 |
| Totals VOC | | | | | 131.71 | lb/yr |
| | | | | | 0.07 | tons/yr |
| (1) Chevron OGA 72040 SDS product information was used for all additives tank emissions because it emits the most VOC | | | | | | |
| Brand-specific proprietary customer blended additives delivered by truck and railcar | | | | | | |

| Additive Tank HAP PTE | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Tank ID | Tank Type | Throughput (gal/yr) | Total VOC (lb/yr) | % of HAP | Total HAP PTE (lb/yr) | Total HAP PTE (tpy) | Product Additive |
| Tank 1 | Vertical Tank | 108,678 | 26.77 | 21.00 | 5.62 | 0.0028 | AP-297-15 |
| Tank 2 | Horizontal Tank | 69,324 | 16.19 | 21.00 | 3.40 | 0.0017 | Nemo-1127 |
| Tank 3 | Horizontal Tank | 66,900 | 16.20 | 21.00 | 3.40 | 0.0017 | MCC-AST-1402 |
| Tank 4 | Horizontal Tank | 46,056 | 10.99 | 21.00 | 2.31 | 0.0012 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 5 | Horizontal Tank | 29,232 | 7.14 | 21.00 | 1.50 | 0.0007 | HiTec-65016 |
| Tank 6 | Horizontal Tank | 30,132 | 6.89 | 21.00 | 1.45 | 0.0007 | HiTec-65016 |
| Tank 9 | Horizontal Tank | 59,136 | 14.34 | 21.00 | 3.01 | 0.0015 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 10 | Horizontal Tank | 33,000 | 8.42 | 21.00 | 1.77 | 0.0009 | Chevron OGA 72040 |
| Tank 11 | Horizontal Tank | 58,524 | 13.98 | 21.00 | 2.94 | 0.0015 | AP-205-20 |
| Tank 12 | Horizontal Tank | 43,848 | 10.79 | 21.00 | 2.27 | 0.0011 | Chevron OGA 72040 |
| Total HAPs | | | | | 27.66 | 0.0138 | |
| Per information from SFPP 2012 renewal application submittal the Chevron OGA 72015 has AP-NA4M Additive and the SDS Sheet is now included in the 2019 Renewal application submittal: Benzene, Dimethyl (Xylene: 1330-20-7) = 17% and Ethyl Benzene (100-41-4) = | | | | | | | |

Greenhouse Gas Calculations



This sheet calculates greenhouse gas emissions from fuel combustion.

1) Enter the combustion emission sources at the facility (e.g. "boiler 1") in the 1st column.

2) In the 2nd column, select the fuel type used in each emissions unit. If more than one fuel type was used in a single emissions unit, you must enter that same emissions unit on multiple rows and then enter the different fuel types in each row.

3) Enter the fuel quantities in the 3rd column and specify the unit of measure in the 4th column. Emissions are then calculated in metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (mtCO₂e).

| Enter emissions information | | | | Convert to mmBtu | | | | Emissions (kg/mmBtu) | | | CO ₂ Equivalent | | | Anthropogenic (mtCO ₂ e) | | | Biogenic (mtCO ₂ e) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------|-------|---------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Emissions unit ¹ | Fuel Type ² | Quantity ³ | Fuel units ³ | HHV Units | HHV Unit | HHV | mmBtu | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | N ₂ O | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | N ₂ O | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | N ₂ O | (mtCO ₂ e) |
| Enclosed Flare | Motor gasoline | 6,817 | Hundred cubic ft | 5,099,543 | gallon | 0.125 | 637,443 | 0.003 | 70.22 | 0.0006 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 48 | 44,761 | 114 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Anthropogenic combustion emissions (mtCO ₂ e): | 44,923 |
| Biogenic combustion emissions (mtCO ₂ e): | 0 |
| Total combustion emissions (mtCO₂e): | 44,923 |

Conversion to short tons

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Anthropogenic combustion emissions: | 49,519 |
| Biogenic combustion emissions: | 0 |
| Total combustion emissions: | 49,519 |

Note that EPA's revised HHV for wood (changed from 15.38 to 17.48 mmBtu/short ton) is for a dry basis. Use the following formula to calculate a wet basis HHV:

$$(100-M) \times 17.48 \text{ mmBtu/short ton}$$

M = moisture content (percent)

Use this new HHV to replace the default HHV in the calculator above once the "wood/woodwaste" fuel type is selected.

| Tank | Type of Tank | Installation(f), Commenced Construction (C) or Modification (M) Date | Product Type | Estimated Capacity (Gal) | in cubic meters and liters | psia, kPa, mm Hg (taken from Tanks info on application worst-case maximum psia) | 40 CFR 63 Subpart BBBBBB | 40 CFR 60 subpart K | 40 CFR 60 Subpart Kb | 40 CFR 60 subpart WW |
|-------|--------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| EG-01 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | 1,562,788 liter & 1,563 m ³ | 0.0112 psia, 0.0772 kPa, 0.5792 mm Hg | No | | | No |
| EG-02 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 824,962 | 3,122,820 liter & 3,123 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-03 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 572,890 | 2,168,624 liter & 2,168 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-04 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 206,828 | 782,929 liter & 783 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-05 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | 1,562,788 liter & 1,563 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-08 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 210,000 | 794,936 liter & 795 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-09 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 210,000 | 794,936 liter & 795 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-10 | FIXTANK | 1963 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | 1,562,788 liter & 1,563 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-11 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | 1,562,788 liter & 1,563 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-12 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 215,936 | 817,406 liter & 817 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-13 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 1,856,164 | 7,026,346 liter & 7026 m ³ | | No | | | No |
| EG-14 | INTANK | 1962 | Transmix | 226,800 | 858,531 liter & 859 m ³ | 7.1645 psia, 49.40 kPa, 370.51 mm Hg | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-15 | INTANK | 1962 | Transmix | 126,000 | 476,962 liter & 477 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-16 | INTANK | 1973 (M 2007/2008) | Ethanol | 1,050,000 | 3,974,682 liter & 3,975 m ³ | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| EG-17 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | 3,974,682 liter & 3,975 m ³ | | Yes | Yes | | Yes |
| EG-18 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | 3,974,682 liter & 3,975 m ³ | | Yes | Yes | | Yes |
| EG-19 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,764,000 | 6,677,466 liter & 6677 m ³ | | Yes | Yes | | Yes |
| EG-20 | INTANK | 1964 | Gasoline | 525,000 | 1,987,341 liter & 1,987 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-22 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 840,000 | 3,179,746 liter & 3,179 m ³ | 7.2368 psia, 49.90 kPa, 374.25 mm Hg | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-23 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 252,000 | 953,924 liter & 954 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-24 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 588,000 | 2,225,822 liter & 2,226 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-25 | EXTANK | 1962 (M 2007/2008) | Ethanol | 210,000 | 794,936 liter & 795 m ³ | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| EG-26 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 252,000 | 953,924 liter & 954 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-29 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 210,000 | 794,936 liter & 795 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-30 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 210,000 | 794,936 liter & 795 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-31 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 294,000 | 1,112,911 liter & 1,113 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-32 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 420,000 | 1,589,873 liter & 1,590 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-35 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | 1,562,788 liter & 1,563 m ³ | 0.0112 psia, 0.0772 kPa, 0.5792 mm Hg | Yes when storing gasoline | | | Yes when storing gasoline |
| EG-36 | INTANK | 1970 | Gasoline | 1,134,000 | 4,292,657 liter & 4,293 m ³ | 7.1645 psia, 49.40 kPa, 370.51 mm Hg | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-37 | INTANK | 1970 | Gasoline | 1,470,000 | 5,564,555 liter & 5,565 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-38 | INTANK | 1971 | Gasoline | 704,970 | 2,668,602 liter & 2,669 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-39 | INTANK | 1971 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | 3,974,682 liter & 3,975 m ³ | | Yes | | | Yes |
| EG-40 | INTANK | 1984 | Gasoline | 2,520,000 | 9,539,238 liter & 9,539 m ³ | | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| EG-41 | INTANK | 1984 | Biodiesel | 2,520,000 | 9,539,238 liter & 9,539 m ³ | 0.0091 psia, 0.0627 kPa, 0.4706 mm Hg | Yes when storing gasoline | | Yes | Yes when storing gasoline |
| EG-42 | INTANK | 1984 | Gasoline | 2,520,000 | 9,539,238 liter & 9,539 m ³ | 7.1645 psia, 49.40 kPa, 370.51 mm Hg | Yes | | Yes | Yes |

| Tank | Type of Tank | Installation, Commenced Construction (C) or Modification (M) Date | Product Type | Estimated Capacity (Gal) | Tanks Control Equipment | | |
|-------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| EG-01 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-02 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 824,962 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-03 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 572,890 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-04 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 206,828 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-05 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-08 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 210,000 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-09 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 210,000 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-10 | FIXTANK | 1963 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-11 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-12 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 215,936 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-13 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 1,856,164 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-14 | INTANK | 1962 | Transmix | 226,800 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-15 | INTANK | 1962 | Transmix | 126,000 | | Primary: Vapor-mounted | Secondary: None |
| EG-16 | INTANK | 1973 (M 2007/2008) | Ethanol | 1,050,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-17 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-18 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | | Primary: Vapor-mounted | Secondary: None |
| EG-19 | INTANK | 1973 | Gasoline | 1,764,000 | | Primary: Vapor-mounted | Secondary: None |
| EG-20 | INTANK | 1964 | Gasoline | 525,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-22 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 840,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-23 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 252,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-24 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 588,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-25 | EXTANK | 1962 (M 2007/2008) | Ethanol | 210,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-26 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 252,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-29 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 210,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-30 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 210,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-31 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 294,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-32 | EXTANK | 1962 | Gasoline | 420,000 | Construction: Welded | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-35 | FIXTANK | 1962 | Biodiesel 5% | 412,845 | Pressure/ Vacuum Vents | | |
| EG-36 | INTANK | 1970 | Gasoline | 1,134,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-37 | INTANK | 1970 | Gasoline | 1,470,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-38 | INTANK | 1971 | Gasoline | 704,970 | | Primary: Liquid-mounted | Secondary: None |
| EG-39 | INTANK | 1971 | Gasoline | 1,050,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-40 | INTANK | 1984 | Gasoline | 2,520,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |
| EG-41 | INTANK | 1984 | Biodiesel | 2,520,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: None |
| EG-42 | INTANK | 1984 | Gasoline | 2,520,000 | | Primary: Mechanical Shoe | Secondary: Rim-mounted |