

Lane Regional Air Protection Agency Standard Air Contaminant Discharge Permit

Review Report

COMS

CEMS

Ambient monitoring

McFarland Cascade Pole & Lumber Company

90049 Highway 99 North Eugene, Oregon 97402 Website: http://www.ldm.com

Source Information:

| Primary SIC | 2491 – Wood Preserving | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| NAICS | 321114 – Wood Preservation | | | |
| Source Categories | B. 73 – Wood preserving C. 3 – Source electing to | | | |

| (LRAPA title 37, Table 1) | maintain the netting basis |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Public Notice Category | Ш |

Compliance and Emissions Monitoring Requirements:

| Unassigned Emissions | Y |
|-----------------------|---|
| Emission Credits | N |
| Compliance Schedule | N |
| Source Test [date(s)] | N |

Reporting Requirements

| Annual Report (due date) | February 15 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Semi-Annual Report (due date) | N |
| GHG Report (due date) | March 31 |
| Monthly Report (due date) | N |
| Quarterly Report (due date) | N |

| Excess Emissions Report | Y |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Other Reports (due date) | |
| NSPS Fuel Oil Report | Postmarked by January |

Air Programs

| All i logiallo | |
|----------------------------|--------|
| NSPS (list subparts) | Dc |
| NESHAP (list subparts) | QQQQQQ |
| CAM | Ν |
| Regional Haze (RH) | Ν |
| Synthetic Minor (SM) | Ν |
| SM-80 | Ν |
| Title V | Ν |
| Part 68 Risk Management | Ν |
| Major FHAP Source | Ν |
| Federal Major Source | Ν |
| NA New Source Review (NSR) | Ν |
| Prevention of Significant | Ν |
| Deterioration (PSD) | |
| Acid Rain | Ν |
| Clean Air Mercury Rule | Ν |
| (CAMR) | |
| TACT | Ν |
| >20 Megawatts | Ν |

Permit No. 205108

Ν

Ν

Ν

30, July 30

Permittee Identification

1. McFarland Cascade Pole & Lumber Company ("the facility") operates a wood treatment facility at 90049 Highway 99 North, Eugene, Oregon.

General Background

2. This facility treats wood products under pressure in closed cylindrical vessels called retorts. The treatment chemicals include DCOI (Dichloro-octyl-isothiazonlinone), copper napthenate (CuNap), and a carrier oil (high flash No. 2 diesel fuel) for the wood preservatives. Current air emissions result from the four (4) retorts, the existing 14.7 MMBtu boiler, and fugitive emissions sources. This facility no longer uses pentachlorophenol. The facility has been in operation since 1953.

Reasons for Permit Actions and Fee Basis

- 3. The facility is authorized to install a new natural gas-fired boiler with a maximum heat input rate of 16.28 MMBtu per hour under NC-205108-A23 issued on 8/23/2023. The new boiler will be the primary boiler for the facility and the existing 14.7 MMBtu per hour boiler will become a backup boiler.
- 4. In addition, the facility requested the existing 14.7 MMBtu per hour boiler be reclassed under 40 CFR 63 subpart JJJJJJ (6J) as meeting the definition of a gas-fired boiler. As such, the PTE of this boiler will be recalculated assuming only 48 hours of fuel oil use and requirements related to the use of fuel oil under 40 CFR 63 subpart 6J will be removed from the permit. No other regulatory changes result from the reclass of the boiler.
- 5. As part of this modification, the facility PSELs will be changed from Generic PSELs to site specific PSELs based on potential-to-emit (PTE). As of March 1, 2023, DEQ removed the ability to use Generic PSELs from their regulations. By state statute, LRAPA cannot be less restrictive than DEQ. The source specific PSELs in the draft permit are based on the boiler calculations provided as part of the modification application and the emission calculations in the review report for the Standard ACDP renewal issued on 11/10/2020.
- 6. LRAPA is also performing an agency-initiated modification to correct or remove the following requirements:
 - 6.a. The facility only uses DCOI and CuNap for wood treatment. The existing conditions in the permit related to 40 CFR 63 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Wood Preserving Area Sources only apply to treatment processes with any wood preservative containing chromium, arsenic, dioxins, or methylene chloride. As such, these conditions will be removed.
 - 6.b. LRAPA has added compliance demonstration and recordkeeping for all SIP and non-SIP conditions, as applicable.

Attainment Status

7. The facility is located inside the Eugene-Springfield Air Quality Management Area. The facility is located in an area that has been designated attainment/unclassified for PM_{2.5}, ozone (VOC), NO₂, SO₂, and Pb and a maintenance area for CO and PM₁₀. The facility is located within 100 kilometers of two (2) Class I air quality protection areas: Diamond Peak Wilderness and Three Sisters Wilderness area.

Permitting History

8. LRAPA has reviewed and issued the following permitting actions to this facility:

| Date Approved | Permit Action Type | Description | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 04/01/1990 | ACDP | Initial air permit. | | |
| 11/07/1995 | NC-205108-A95 | Construction of third retort and 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler. | | |
| 02/02/1996 | NC-205108-B95 | Construction of fourth retort. | | |
| 12/18/1996 | ACDP | Incorporate two new retorts and 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler. | | |
| 04/01/1998 | ACDP | Renewal. | | |
| 04/01/2003 | ACDP | Renewal. | | |
| 01/29/2004 | ACDP Addendum No. 1 | Name change. | | |
| 05/10/2004 | NC-205108-A04 | Installation of a new fiber bed filter for the vacuum pump exhaust. | | |
| 09/24/2004 | ACDP Addendum No. 2 | Addition of the new fiber bed filter for the vacuum pump exhaust. | | |
| 05/23/2006 | NC-205108-A06 | Installation of vapor recovery hoods above the retort door openings. | | |
| 11/23/2009 | ACDP | Renewal. | | |
| 12/16/2009 | NC-205108-A09 | Installation of a second fiber bed filter for retort door openings. | | |
| 03/17/2015 | Standard ACDP | Renewal. | | |
| 08/22/2019 | NC-205108-A19 | Authorize use of a rented 12.6 MMBtu/hr boiler while the 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler is repaired. | | |
| 11/10/2020 | Standard ACDP | Renewal. | | |
| 11/09/2021 | NC-205108-B21 | Authorize use of a temporary diesel-fired 27 kW generator for power while modifying the wood treatment drip pad. | | |
| 04/13/2022 | NC-205108-A22 | Authorize use of a rented 14.6 MMBtu/hr boiler while the 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler is repaired. | | |
| 08/23/2023 | NC-205108-A23 | Construction of a new 16.28 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler. | | |
| Upon Issuance | Standard ACDP | Incorporate new 16.28 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler; set PSELs to PTE; reset 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler to gas-fired boiler. | | |

Emission Unit Descriptions

9. The emission units regulated by this permit are the following:

| Emission Unit ID | Description | Description Pollution Control Device (PCD ID) | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Significant | Emission Units | | |
| EU-1 | Oil-based Wood Preserving including: • 4 Retorts • Storage and Work Tanks • Cooling Tower • Fugitive Sources | None | Retort 1 - 1994 Retort 2 - 1994 Retort 3 - 1996 Retort 4 - 1996 |
| B-1 | Boiler (14.7 MMBtu/hr) – Gas-Fired with No. 2 Fuel Oil Backup | None | 1995 |
| B-2 | Boiler (16.8 MMBtu/hr) – Gas-Fired Only | None | 2023 |

10. Oil-based Wood Preserving

McFarland Cascade Pole & Lumber Company Permit No. 205108 Expiration Date: November 10, 2025 Modified Date: [Insert Date]

The facility operates a wood preserving process. In wood preserving, wood is treated under pressure in a closed cylindrical vessel retort by forcing chemical preservatives deep in the cells of the wood. This facility operates four (4) retorts. As part of the preservation process, the facility operates chemical storage tanks, work tanks, and a process water cooling tower. The facility currently uses DCOI (Dichloro-octyl-isothiazonlinone), copper napthenate (CuNap), and a carrier oil (high flash No. 2 diesel fuel).for wood preservatives. The facility no longer uses pentachlorophenol. VOC emissions for this process were provided by the facility in support of the review report for the Standard ACDP renewal issued on 11/10/2020. Some parts of this process are exhausted through fiber bed filters that reduce condensable and particulate matter emissions.

11. 14.7 MMBtu/hr Natural Gas Boiler with Fuel Oil Backup (B-1)

The facility currently uses one (1) 14.7 MMBtu/hr boiler (B-1) installed in 1996 to provide steam for the wood treatment operations. The criteria pollutant emissions from this source are based on emission factors derived from DEQ AQ-EF05 – Emission Factors Gas Fired Boilers, DEQ AQ-EF04 – Emission Factors Oil Fired Boilers, and US EPA 40 CFR 98, Tables C-1 and C-2. The federal HAP or CAO TAC emissions from this source are based on emission factors from DEQ's 2020 Air Toxics Emission Inventory Combustion Emission Factor Tool.

12. 16.28 MMBtu/hr Natural Gas Boiler (B-2)

The facility proposes to install one (1) 16.28 MMBtu/hr boiler (B-2) to provide steam for the wood treatment operations. The criteria pollutant emissions from this source are based on emission factors derived from DEQ AQ-EF05 – Emission Factors Gas Fired Boilers and US EPA 40 CFR 98, Tables C-1 and C-2. The federal HAP or CAO TAC emissions from this source are based on emission factors from DEQ's 2020 Air Toxics Emission Inventory Combustion Emission Factor Tool.

Production Limitations

- 13. The facility is limited to treating no more than 6,000,000 cubic feet per year and no more than 2,400 chargers per year. This limitation was originally required when the facility used pentachlorophenol in order to avoid triggering an increase above the Significant Emission Rate for VOC. Compliance will be based on recordkeeping.
- 14. The facility is limited to no more than two (2) retort door openings in any 60-minute period. This limitation is to prevent a sudden emission level that may result in odor complaint. This requirement is not based on past odor complaints, but rather the potential for future odor complaints. Compliance will be based on recordkeeping.

Nuisance Emission Limitations

- 15. Under LRAPA 49-010(1), the permittee must not cause or allow air contaminants from any source subject to regulation by LRAPA to cause a nuisance. Compliance is demonstrated through documentation of all complaints received by the facility from the general public and following procedures to notify LRAPA of receipt of these complaints.
- 16. Under LRAPA 32-055, the permittee must not cause or permit the emission of particulate matter which is larger than 250 microns in size at sufficient duration or quantity as to create an observable deposition upon the real property of another person. Compliance is demonstrated through documentation of all complaints received by the facility from the general public and following procedures to notify LRAPA of receipt of these complaints.
- 17. Under LRAPA 32-090(1), the permittee must not discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants which cause injury or damage to any persons, the public, business or

property; such determination is to be made by LRAPA. Compliance is demonstrated through documentation of all complaints received by the facility from the general public and following procedures to notify LRAPA of receipt of these complaints.

General Emission Limitations

- 18. Under LRAPA 48-015(1), the permittee must not cause, suffer, allow or permit any materials to be handled, transported, or stored; or a building, its appurtenances, or a road to be used, constructed, altered, repaired or demolished; or any equipment to be operated, without taking reasonable precautions to prevent particulate matter from becoming airborne. Compliance is demonstrated through a fugitive emissions survey performed at least once a month and taking the reasonable precautions listed under LRAPA 48-015(1).
- 19. The emission units at this facility are subject to the visible emission limitations under LRAPA 32-010(3). These emission units must not have visible emissions equal to or greater than 20% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. Compliance is demonstrated through a visible emissions survey performed at least once a month.
- 20. The emission units at the facility, other than combustion units, are subject to particulate matter emission limitations under LRAPA 32-015(2)(b)(b). For sources installed, constructed or modified on or after June 1, 1970 but prior to April 16, 2015, for which there are no representative compliance source test results prior to April 16, 2015, the permittee must not cause, suffer, allow, or permit particulate matter emissions in excess of 0.14 grains per dry standard cubic foot. Compliance is demonstrated through a visible emissions survey performed at least once a month.
- 21. Emission Unit B-1 is subject to particulate matter emission limitations under LRAPA 32-030(1)(b). For combustion sources installed, constructed or modified on or after June 1, 1970 but prior to April 16, 2015, for which there are no representative compliance source test results prior to April 16, 2015, the permittee must not cause, suffer, allow, or permit particulate matter emissions in excess of 0.14 grains per dry standard cubic foot. Compliance is demonstrated through a visible emissions survey performed at least once a month.
- 22. Emission Unit B-2 is subject to particulate matter emission limitations under LRAPA 32-030(2). For sources installed, constructed, or modified after April 16, 2015, the particulate matter emission limit is 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot. Compliance is demonstrated through a visible emissions survey performed at least once a month.
- 23. LRAPA 32-008(2) requires new or modified emission units to meet TACT if the emission unit meets the following criteria: The emission unit is not subject to Major NSR in title 38, Type A State NSR in LRAPA title 38, an applicable Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources in title 46, or any other standard applicable only to new or modified sources in title 32, title 33, or title 39 for the regulated pollutant emitted; the source is required to have a permit; if new, the emission unit has emissions of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year of any criteria pollutant; and LRAPA determines that the proposed air pollution control devices and emission reduction processes do not represent TACT.
 - 23.a. Each retort in Emission Unit EU-1 does have potential gaseous pollutant emissions that are equal to or greater than one (1) ton per year for VOCs. While LRAPA has not performed a formal TACT determination for VOCs, LRAPA has determined that controls are not typically used for these emission units at the calculated potential emission rates. Current operations likely meet TACT.
 - 23.b. Emission Units B-1 and B-2 are subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units. As such, these emission units are not required to meet TACT.

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

- 24. Emission Unit B-1 is subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units because this emission unit is a steam generating unit for which construction commenced after June 9, 1989, and the emission unit has a maximum design heat input of 100 MMBtu per hour or less, but greater than or equal to 10 MMBtu per hour.
- 25. The 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc requirements that are applicable to Emission Unit B-1 are identified in the following table:

| 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc Citation | Description | Applicable to Source Comments (Yes/No) | | Permit Condition |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------|
| 60.40c | Applicability and delegation of authority | Yes | The boiler has a maximum heat input capacity between 10 and 100 MMBtu per hour. | NA |
| 60.41c | Definitions | Yes | The boiler meets the definition of a steam generating unit. | NA |
| 60.42c | Standards for sulfur dioxide (SO ₂) | Yes | The facility elected to limit the sulfur weight percent of the fuel oil. | 23, 24 |
| 60.43c | Standard for particulate matter (PM) | No | None. | NA |
| 60.44c | Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for sulfur dioxide | Yes | None. | NA |
| 60.45c | Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for particulate matter | No | None. | NA |
| 60.46c | Emission monitoring for sulfur dioxide | No | None. | NA |
| 60.47c | Emission monitoring for particulate matter | No | None. | NA |
| 60.48c | Reporting and recordkeeping requirements | Yes | Under the authority of 40 CFR 60.19(c), LRAPA has moved the postmark deadlines to align with the February 15 reporting. | 25-29 |

26. Emission Unit B-2 is subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc - 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc – Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units because this emission unit is a steam generating unit for which construction commenced after June 9, 1989, and the emission unit has a maximum design heat input of 100 MMBtu per hour or less, but greater than or equal to 10 MMBtu per hour.

27. The 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc requirements that are applicable to Emission Unit B-2 are identified in the following table:

| 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 60.40c | Applicability and delegation of authority | Yes | The boiler has a maximum heat input capacity between 10 and 100 MMBtu per hour. | NA |
| 60.41c | Definitions | Yes | The boiler meets the definition of a steam generating unit. | NA |
| 60.42c | Standards for sulfur dioxide (SO ₂) | No | None. | NA |
| 60.43c | Standard for particulate matter (PM) | No | No None. | |
| 60.44c | Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for sulfur dioxide | No | None. | NA |
| 60.45c | Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for particulate matter | No | None. | NA |
| 60.46c | Emission monitoring for sulfur dioxide | No | None. | NA |
| 60.47c | Emission monitoring for particulate matter | No | None. | NA |
| 60.48c | Reporting and recordkeeping requirements | Yes | Maintain records of the monthly usage of natural gas by the boiler. | 28, 29 |

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

- 28. The facility has requested Emission Unit B-1 be reclassed under 40 CFR 63 subpart 6J National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources. A boiler that meets the definition of a gas fired boiler under 40 CFR 63.11237 is not subject to this NESHAP under 40 CFR 63.11195(e). As such, the PTE of this boiler will be recalculated assuming only 48 hours of fuel oil use and requirements related to the use of fuel oil under 40 CFR 63 subpart 6J will be removed from the current permit. No other regulatory changes result from the reclass of the boiler.
- 29. Emission Unit B-2 is not subject to 40 CFR 63 subpart 6J National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources because this emission unit is a gas fired boiler. Unlike Emission Unit B-1, Emission Unit B-2 will not have the ability to combust fuel oil. A boiler that meets the definition of a gas fired boiler under 40 CFR 63.11237 is not subject to this NESHAP under 40 CFR 63.11195(e).
- 30. Emission Unit EU-1 is subject to 40 CFR 63 subpart QQQQQQ (6Q) National Emission Standards for Wood Preserving Area Sources because the facility is a wood preserving operation as defined under 40 CFR 63.11433 that is an area source of hazardous air pollutant emissions. The facility uses

DCOI and copper naphthenate as wood preservatives. The facility no longer uses pentachlorophenol. Because the facility no longer uses any wood preservatives containing chromium, arsenic, dioxins, or methylene chloride, the requirements of 40 CFR 63 subpart 6Q have been removed from the current permit. The facility will remain subject to 40 CFR 63 subpart 6Q as an existing source under the regulation. No further requirements apply under this NESHAP. The facility will be required to maintain documentation that the wood preservatives used at the facility do not contain the hazardous air pollutants of concern.

31. The 40 CFR 63 subpart 6Q requirements that are applicable to Emission Unit EU-1 are identified in the following table:

| 40 CFR 63 subpart 6Q Citation | Description | Applicable to Source (Yes/No) | Comments | Permit Condition |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 63.11428 | Applicability | Yes | None. | NA |
| 63.11429 | Compliance Dates | Yes | None. | NA |
| 63.11430 | Standards | No | None. | NA |
| 63.11432 | General Provisions | No | None. | NA |
| 63.11433 | Definitions | Yes | None. | NA |
| 63.11434 | Implement and enforcement | Yes | None. | NA |

Plant Site Emission Limits (PSELs)

32. Provided below is a summary of the baseline emissions rate, netting basis, plant site emission limit, and potential-to-emit:

| | Baseline Emission | Netting Basis | | Plant Site Emission Limit (PSEL) | | PTE |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Pollutant | Rate (TPY) | Previous (TPY) | Proposed (TPY) | Previous PSEL (TPY) | Proposed PSEL (TPY) | (TPY) |
| PM | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 24 | de minimis | 0.64 |
| PM10 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 14 | de minimis | 0.43 |
| PM _{2.5} | NA | 1.1 | 1.1 | 9 | de minimis | 0.34 |
| CO | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 99 | 13 | 13 |
| NOx | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 39 | 11 | 11 |
| SO ₂ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 39 | de minimis | 0.41 |
| VOC | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 59 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| GHG (CO ₂ eq) | 2,823 | 2,823 | 2,823 | 74,000 | 15,906 | 15,906 |

- 33. The baseline emission rates for PM, PM₁₀, CO, NO_X, SO₂ and VOC were determined in previous permitting actions and there has been no changes. A baseline emission rate is not established for PM_{2.5} in accordance with LRAPA 42-0048(3). The facility baseline for GHGs is based upon actual emissions from the 2004 calendar year.
- 34. The netting basis is equal to the baseline emission rate for all pollutants. The facility has not had any emission increases approved for any of the reasons listed under LRAPA 42-0046(3)(e). The PM_{2.5} netting basis was established as being equivalent to the PM₁₀ netting basis using the procedures

under LRAPA 42-0046(2)(b). The fraction of PM_{10} in the netting basis that is $PM_{2.5}$ is assumed to be 100%.

35. In accordance with OAR 340-222-0041(2), the PSEL for all pollutants emitted above de minimis are set equal to the sources potential-to-emit (PTE) for that pollutant. The previous PSELs for this facility was set at the Generic PSEL. No PSELs are set for PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and SO₂ in accordance with LRAPA 42-0020(3)(a) because these pollutants are emitted at no more than the de minimis as defined in LRAPA title 12.

Significant Emission Rate

36. The PSEL increase over the netting basis is less than the Significant Emission Rate (SER) as defined in LRAPA title 12 for all pollutants.

| Pollutant | Proposed PSEL (TPY) | PSEL Increase Over Netting Basis (TPY) | PSEL Increase Due to Utilizing Existing Baseline Period Capacity (TPY) | PSEL Increase Due to Modification (TPY) | SER (TPY) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--------------|
| PM | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25 |
| PM10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 15 |
| PM _{2.5} | NA | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| CO | 13 | 12.7 | NA | NA | 100 |
| NOx | 11 | 9.6 | NA | NA | 40 |
| SO ₂ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 40 |
| VOC | 7.4 | 0 | NA | NA | 40 |
| GHGs | 15,906 | 13,083 | NA | NA | 75,000 |

Unassigned Emissions and Emission Reduction Credits

37. The facility has unassigned emissions as shown in the table below. Unassigned emissions are equal to the netting basis minus the source's current PTE, minus any banked emission reduction credits. The facility has zero (0) tons of emission reduction credits. In accordance with LRAPA 42-0055 the maximum unassigned emissions may not be more than the SER.

| Pollutant | Proposed Netting Basis (TPY) | PTE (TPY) | Unassigned Emissions (TPY) | Emission Reduction Credits (TPY) | SER (TPY) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------|
| PM | 2.8 | 0.64 | 1.8 | 0 | 25 |
| PM10 | 1.1 | 0.43 | 0.7 | 0 | 15 |
| PM _{2.5} | 1.1 | 0.34 | 0.8 | 0 | 10 |
| CO | 0.3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| NOx | 1.4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| SO ₂ | 0.1 | NA | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| VOC | 20.2 | 7.4 | 12.8 | 0 | 40 |
| GHGs | 2,823 | 15,906 | 0 | 0 | 75,000 |

New Source Review (NSR) and Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)

38. This source is located in an area that is designated attainment or unclassified for all regulated pollutants other than CO and PM₁₀. For pollutants other than CO and PM₁₀, the proposed PSELs are less than the federal major source threshold for non-listed sources of 250 TPY per regulated pollutant and are not subject to Major NSR. For CO and PM₁₀, the source is located in a maintenance area.

The proposed PSELs for CO and PM₁₀ are less than the 100 TPY threshold that determines the applicability of Major NSR in a maintenance area.

Type A and Type B State NSR

39. For all NSR regulated pollutants the proposed modification will not have emissions per regulated pollutant equal to or greater than the SER over the netting basis that would require Type A or B State NSR.

Federal Hazardous Air Pollutants/Toxic Air Contaminants

- 40. Potential annual federal hazardous air pollutant emissions (FHAP) are based on the potential to emit of the facility operating under permit limitations. Naphthalene has the highest single FHAP emissions at 3.9E-02 tons per year. The potential total FHAP emissions are 0.55 tons per year. A major source of FHAPs is defined as having potential FHAP emissions of at least 10 tons per year of any single HAP and 25 tons per year of the aggregate of all FHAPs. This facility does not have potential FHAP emissions exceeding these thresholds and is considered a minor or area source of FHAPs.
- 41. Under the Cleaner Air Oregon program, only existing sources that have been notified by LRAPA and new sources are required to perform risk assessments. This source has not been notified by LRAPA and, therefore, is not yet required to perform a risk assessment or report annual emissions of toxic air contaminants. LRAPA required reporting of approximately 600 toxic air contaminants in 2016 and regulates approximately 260 toxic air contaminants (TAC) that have Risk Based Concentrations established in rule. All FHAPs are on the list of approximately 600 TACs. The FHAPs and TACs listed below are based upon safety data sheets and standard emission factors for the types of emission units at this facility. After the source is notified by LRAPA, they must update their inventory and perform a risk assessment to see if they must reduce risk from their TACs. Until then, this source will be required to report TAC emissions triennially.

| CAS Number | Pollutant | PTE (TPY) | FHAP | CAO TAC |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------|------------|
| Organics | | | | |
| 75-07-0 | Acetaldehyde | 1.3E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| 107-02-8 | Acrolein | 1.3E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| 71-43-2 | Benzene | 7.8E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 106-99-0 | 1,3-Butadiene | 3.8E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| 100-41-4 | Ethyl Benzene | 9.1E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 50-00-0 | Formaldehyde | 2.5E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| 110-54-3 | Hexane | 6.2E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 91-20-3 | Naphthalene | 3.9E-02 | Yes | Yes |
| NA | POM (inc. PAHs) | 1.7E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 115-07-1 | Propylene | 7.0E-02 | No | Yes |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 3.5E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| 1330-20-7 | Xylenes | 2.6E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| Inorganic Gase | S | | | |
| 7664-41-7 | Ammonia | 4.3E-01 | No | Yes |
| 7647-01-0 | Hydrochloric Acid | 4.8E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| Metals | | | | |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | 3.0E-05 | Yes | Yes |

42. The table below represents the potential emissions of federal HAPs/TACs from this facility assuming operation at the permit allowable limitations:

| CAS Number | Pollutant | PTE (TPY) | FHAP | CAO TAC |
|------------|----------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | 1.6E-06 | Yes | Yes |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | 1.5E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium, Hexavalent | 1.8E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | 1.0E-05 | No | Yes |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead Compounds | 2.1E-05 | No | Yes |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | 5.8E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | 3.9E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | 2.9E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | 8.8E-06 | Yes | Yes |
| | Total (TPY) = | 0.55 | 5.4E-02 | 0.55 |

Toxic Release Inventory

- 43. The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) is a federal program that tracks the management of certain toxic chemicals that may pose a threat to human health and the environment. It is a resource for learning about toxic chemical releases and pollution prevention activities reported by certain industrial facilities. Section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) created the TRI Program. In general, chemicals covered by the TRI Program are those that cause:
 - Cancer or other chronic human health effects;
 - Significant adverse acute human health effects; or
 - Significant adverse environmental effects.

There are currently over 650 chemicals covered by the TRI Program. Facilities that manufacture, process or otherwise use these chemicals in amounts above established levels must submit annual TRI reports on each chemical. NOTE: The TRI Program is a federal program over which LRAPA has no regulatory authority. LRAPA does not guarantee the accuracy of any information copied from EPA's TRI website.

In order to report emissions to the TRI program, a facility must operate under a reportable NAICS code, meet a minimum employee threshold, and manufacture, process, or otherwise use chemicals in excess of the applicable reporting threshold for the chemical. For calendar year 2022, this facility reported the emissions of the following chemicals to the air:

| Chemical Name | CAS Number | Fugitive Release (pounds) | Stack Release (pounds) | Total (pounds) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Dioxin and dioxin like compounds | TRI ID: N150 | 6.317E-4 | | 6.317E-4 |
| | | grams | | grams |
| Pentachlorophenol | 87-86-5 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | TRI ID: N590 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

NOTE: In 2022, the facility ceased using pentachlorophenol, which is the primary source of the dioxin emissions reported to TRI.

Compliance History

44. This facility is regularly inspected by LRAPA and occasionally by other regulatory agencies. The following table indicates the inspection history of this facility since 1993:

| Type of Inspection | Date | Results |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 07/21/1993 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 12/09/1994 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 06/20/1995 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 11/22/1996 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 09/25/1997 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 03/30/1998 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 04/18/2003 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 05/01/2008 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |
| LRAPA - Full Compliance Evaluation | 04/24/2013 | No areas of non-compliance discovered |

45. LRAPA has not initiated any enforcement actions against this facility since at least 1993.

Performance Test Results

46. The facility is not required to conduct performance testing. LRAPA is not aware of any performance testing conducted at this facility.

Recordkeeping Requirements

47. The permittee must keep and maintain records for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of entry of the following information:

| Activity | Units | Minimum Recording Frequency |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PSEL Recordkeeping | | |
| Date, time, type and quantity of material removed from the retorts. | NA | Each occurrence |
| Production of treated wood. | Cubic feet | Monthly and 12 month rolling |
| Date and time of retort door openings. | NA | Per Opening |
| Number of charges. | NA | Monthly and 12 month rolling |
| Name, type and quantity used for all chemicals used in the wood treatment process. | NA | Annually |
| The amount of natural gas combusted by each boiler. | Therms or MMCF | Monthly |
| The amount of fuel oil combusted by Emission Unit B-1. | Gallons | Monthly |
| General Recordkeeping | | |
| Log of nuisance complaints. | NA | Upon receipt of complaint |
| Fugitive Emission Survey. | NA | Monthly |
| Visible Emission Survey. | NA | Monthly |
| Operation and Maintenance Plan. | NA | Maintain the current version on-site |
| Upset Log of all planned and unplanned excess emissions, as required by Condition G15. | NA | Per occurence |
| 40 CFR 60 Subpart Dc Recordkeeping | | · |

| Activity | Units | Minimum Recording Frequency |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| The amount of natural gas combusted by each boiler. | Therms or MMCF | Monthly |
| The amount of fuel oil combusted by Emission Unit B-1. | Gallons | Monthly |
| Fuel oil supplier certifications for Emission Unit B-1. | NA | Each delivery of fuel oil |
| 40 CFR 63 Subpart 6J Recordkeeping | | |
| Total monthly and calendar year hours that the Emission Unit B-1 combusted fuel oil. | Hours | Monthly and calendar year |
| 40 CFR 63 Subpart 6Q Recordkeeping | | |
| Documentation that each wood preservative used does not use any chromium, arsenic, dioxins, or methylene chloride. | NA | Each wood preservative used |

Reporting Requirements

48. The facility must submit to LRAPA the following reports by no later than the dates indicated in the table below:

| Report | Reporting Period | Due Date |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Semiannual fuel oil report as required by 40 CFR 60 subpart Dc for Emission Unit Boiler B-1. | Semiannual | Postmarked by February 15, August 15 |
| The upset log information required by Condition G13 of the draft permit, if required by G13. | Annual | February 15 |
| PSEL pollutant emissions as calculated according to Conditions 5 and 6 of the draft permit, including supporting calculations. | Annual | February 15 |
| GHG Report, if required by Condition 35 of the draft permit. | Annual | March 31 |

Public Notice

49. Pursuant to LRAPA 34-0066(4)(a)(A), issuance of modified Standard Air Contaminant Discharge Permit requires public notice of the proposed permit action and a minimum of 35-days for interested persons to submit written comments.

The draft permit will be on public notice October 30, 2023 to December 5, 2023. Written comments may be submitted during the 35-day comment period. If requested by ten (10) or more individuals or an individual representing a group of more than ten (10) individuals, there will be a public hearing following the comment period.

After the comment period and hearing (if requested), LRAPA will respond to comments received and then take final action to issue or deny the permit within 45 days of the close of the public comment period or hearing period.

JJW/cmw 10/30/2023

| Emission Deta | | - | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|--------|
| Facility Poten | tial Emissions | Summary | | | | | | |
| Criteria Pollut | ant Emissions | 6 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | GHGs |
| | PM (TPY) | PM10 (TPY) | PM2.5 (TPY) | NOx (TPY) | CO (TPY) | SO ₂ (TPY) | VOC (TPY)* | (TPY) |
| PTE | 0.64 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 11 | 13 | 0.41 | 7.4 | 15,906 |
| PSEL | de minimis | de minimis | de minimis | 11 | 13 | de minimis | 7.4 | 15,906 |
| FHAP/TAC Em | issions | | | | | | | |
| | 13310113 | | Potential | | | | | |
| | | | Annual | | | | | |
| | | | Emissions | Federal | CAO | | | |
| Pollutant | | | (TPY) | HAP | Air Toxic | | | |
| Organics | | | () | 124 | | | | |
| Acetaldehyde | | | 1.3E-03 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Acrolein | | | 1.3E-03 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Benzene | | | 7.8E-04 | Yes | Yes | - | | |
| 1.3-Butadiene | | | 3.8E-05 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | | | 9.1E-04 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Formaldehyde | | | 2.5E-03 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Hexane | | | 6.2E-04 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Naphthalene | | | 3.9E-02 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| POM (inc. PAH | s) | | 1.7E-04 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Propylene | -) | | 7.0E-02 | No | Yes | | | |
| Toluene | | | 3.5E-03 | Yes | Yes | _ | | |
| Xylenes | | | 2.6E-03 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Inorganic Gas | es | | | | | 1 | | |
| Ammonia | | | 4.3E-01 | No | Yes | 1 | | |
| Hydrochloric Ad | cid | | 4.8E-04 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Metals | - | | | | | 1 | | |
| Arsenic | | | 3.0E-05 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Beryllium | | | 1.6E-06 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Cadmium | | | 1.5E-04 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Chromium, Hex | avalent | ĺ | 1.8E-04 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| Copper | | ĺ | 1.0E-05 | No | Yes | 1 | | |
| Lead Compound | ds | | 2.1E-05 | No | Yes | | | |
| Manganese | | | 5.8E-05 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Mercury | | | 3.9E-05 | Yes | Yes |] | | |
| Nickel | | | 2.9E-04 | Yes | Yes | | | |
| Selenium | | ĺ | 8.8E-06 | Yes | Yes | 1 | | |
| | Total Emis | sions (TPY) = | 5.5E-01 | 5.4E-02 | 5.5E-01 | 1 | | |
| | | ax Individual | FHAP (TPY) = | 3.9E-02 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

McFarland Cascade Pole & Lumber Company Permit No. 205108 Expiration Date: November 10, 2025 Modified Date: [Insert Date]

| Boiler Emission Calcula | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|--|
| Boiler Specifications | | | | | | |
| Max Heat Input | 16.28 | MMBtu/hr | | | | |
| Heat Value - Natural Gas | 1026 | MMBtu/MMCF | | | | |
| Max Hrs Operation | 8760 | hr/yr | | | | |
| Criteria Pollutants | | | | | | |
| | | | Potential | | | |
| | NG Emission | | Annual | | | |
| | Factor | | Emissions | | | |
| Pollutant | (Ib/MMCF) | NG EF Units | (TPY) | | | |
| PM | 2.5 | lb/MMCF | 0.17 | ĺ | | |
| PM10 | 2.5 | lb/MMCF | 0.17 | ĺ | | |
| PM2.5 | 2.5 | lb/MMCF | 0.17 | | | |
| Carbon Monoxide | 84 | lb/MMCF | 5.84 | | | |
| Nitrogen Oxides | 100 | lb/MMCF | 6.95 | | | |
| Sulfur Dioxide | 1.7 | lb/MMCF | 0.12 | | | |
| VOCs | 5.5 | lb/MMCF | 0.38 | | | |
| GHGs (CO ₂ equiv.) | 117 | lb/MMBtu | 8,350 |] | | |
| | | | | | | |
| FHAP/TAC Emissions | | Potential | | | | |
| | NG Emission | Annual | | | - | |
| | Factor | Emissions | Federal | CAO | - | |
| Pollutant | (Ib/MMCF) | (TPY) | HAP | Air Toxic | - | |
| Organics | | (11.1) | 1 AI | AILTOXIC | _ | |
| Acetaldehyde | 0.0031 | 2.2E-04 | Yes | Yes | | |
| Acrolein | 0.0027 | 1.9E-04 | Yes | Yes | | |
| Benzene | 0.0058 | 4.0E-04 | Yes | Yes | 4 | |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.0069 | 4.8E-04 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Formaldehyde | 0.0123 | 8.5E-04 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Hexane | 0.0046 | 3.2E-04 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Naphthalene | 0.0003 | 2.1E-05 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| POM (inc. PAHs) | 0.0004 | 2.8E-05 | Yes | Yes | | |
| Propylene | 0.5300 | 3.7E-02 | No | Yes | - | |
| Toluene | 0.0265 | 1.8E-03 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Xylenes | 0.0197 | 1.4E-03 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Inorganic Gases | 0.0101 | | 100 | 100 | - | |
| Ammonia | 3.2000 | 2.2E-01 | No | Yes | - | |
| Metals | 0 | | | | - | |
| Arsenic | 2.0E-04 | 1.4E-05 | Yes | Yes | - | |
| Beryllium | 1.2E-05 | 8.3E-07 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| Cadmium | 1.1E-03 | 7.6E-05 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| Chromium, Hexavalent | 1.4E-03 | 9.7E-05 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| Manganese | 3.8E-04 | 2.6E-05 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| Mercury | 2.6E-04 | 1.8E-05 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| Nickel | 2.1E-03 | 1.5E-04 | Yes | Yes | | |
| Selenium | 2.4E-05 | 1.7E-06 | Yes | Yes | 1 | |
| | Total Emissions = | 2.7E-01 | 6.1E-03 | 2.7E-01 | | |
| | Factors | | | | | |
| GHG-Related Emission | Natural Gas | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | | | |
| GHG-Related Emission | | GWP | | | | |
| | (ka/MMRtu) | | | | | |
| Pollutant | (kg/MMBtu) | 1 | | | | |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) | 53.06 | 1 | | | | |
| Pollutant Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Methane (CH ₄) | | 25 | | | | |
| Pollutant Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Methane (CH ₄) | 53.06 | | | | | |
| Pollutant Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Methane (CH ₄) Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O) | 53.06 1.0E-03 | 25 | | | | |
| Pollutant Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Methane (CH ₄) Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O) Notes: | 53.06 1.0E-03 1.0E-04 | 25 298 | | | Fired Boilers, AQ-EF05 (08/01 | |

McFarland Cascade Pole & Lumber Company Permit No. 205108 Expiration Date: November 10, 2025 Modified Date: [Insert Date]

| Boiler Emission Calcula | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Boiler Specifications | | | | | |
| fax Heat Input | 14.7 | MMBtu/hr | | | |
| leat Value - Natural Gas | 1,026 | MMBtu/MMCF | | | |
| leat Value - Fuel Oil | 138 | MMBtu/1000 Gal | | | |
| lax Hrs Operation - NG | 8,712 | hr/yr | | | |
| ax Hrs Operation - FO | 48 | hr/yr | | | |
| iteria Pollutants | | | | | |
| | | | | | Potential |
| | | | 50 5 | | Annual |
| Dellutent | NG Emission | | FO Emission | | Emission |
| Pollutant M | Factor | NG EF Units | Factor | FO EF Units | (TPY) |
| | 2.5 | Ib/MMCF | 3.3 | lb/1000 Gal | 0.16 |
| PM10 PM2.5 | 2.5 2.5 | Ib/MMCF Ib/MMCF | 2.3 | lb/1000 Gal lb/1000 Gal | 0.16 |
| | | | 1.6 | | |
| Carbon Monoxide | 84 100 | Ib/MMCF Ib/MMCF | 5 20 | lb/1000 Gal lb/1000 Gal | 5.26 6.29 |
| | 100 | Ib/MMCF | 20 | | 0.29 |
| Sulfur Dioxide /OCs | 5.5 | Ib/MMCF Ib/MMCF | 0.2 | lb/1000 Gal lb/1000 Gal | 0.29 |
| GHGs (CO2 equiv.) | 117 | lb/MMBtu | 164 | Ib/MMBtu | 7,556 |
| | | | | | |
| HAP/TAC Emissions | | | Potential | | |
| | NG Emission | FO Emission | Annual | | |
| | Factor | Factor | Emissions | Federal | CAO |
| Pollutant | (lbs/MMCF) | (lbs/1000 Gal) | (TPY) | HAP | Air Toxic |
| Drganics | (| (| \··· ·/ | | |
| Acetaldehyde | 0.0031 | 0.3506 | 1.1E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| Acrolein | 0.0027 | 0.3506 | 1.1E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| enzene | 0.0058 | 0.0044 | 3.7E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| ,3-Butadiene | | 0.0148 | 3.8E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| thyl Benzene | 0.0069 | 0.0002 | 4.3E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| ormaldehyde | 0.0123 | 0.3506 | 1.7E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| lexane | 0.0046 | 0.0035 | 3.0E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| laphthalene | 0.0003 | 0.0053 | 3.2E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| OM (inc. PAHs) | 0.0004 | 0.0445 | 1.4E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| ropylene | 0.5300 | | 3.3E-02 | No | Yes |
| oluene | 0.0265 | 0.0044 | 1.7E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| lylenes | 0.0197 | 0.0016 | 1.2E-03 | Yes | Yes |
| norganic Gases | | | | | |
| Ammonia | 3.2000 | 2.9 | 2.1E-01 | No | Yes |
| lydrochloric Acid | | 0.1863 | 4.8E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| letals | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 2.0E-04 | 0.0016 | 1.7E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| Beryllium | 1.2E-05 | | 7.5E-07 | Yes | Yes |
| Cadmium | 1.1E-03 | 0.0015 | 7.2E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| Chromium, Hexavalent | 1.4E-03 | 0.0001 | 8.8E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| Copper | | 0.0041 | 1.0E-05 | No | Yes |
| ead Compounds | | 0.0083 | 2.1E-05 | No | Yes |
| langanese | 3.8E-04 | 0.0031 | 3.2E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| Aercury | 2.6E-04 | 0.002 | 2.1E-05 | Yes | Yes |
| lickel | 2.1E-03 | 0.0039 | 1.4E-04 | Yes | Yes |
| Selenium | 2.4E-05 | 0.0022 | 7.1E-06 | Yes | Yes |
| | Total Emissions = | - | 2.5E-01 | 8.9E-03 | 2.5E-01 |
| GHG-Related Emission F | actors | | | | |
| | Natural Gas | Fuel Oil | | | |
| Pollutant | (kg/MMBtu) | (kg/MMBtu) | GWP | | |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO2) | 53.06 | 73.96 | 1 | | |
| fethane (CH ₄) | 1.0E-03 | 3.0E-03 | 25 | | |
| litrous Oxide (N ₂ O) | 1.0E-04 | 6.0E-04 | 298 | | |
| | | | | | |
| lotes: | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 0 5505 (00.1 |
| atural gas emissions fac | tors excent GHGs | are based on DEO I | Emission Factors (| as Fired Boilers A | U-EF05 (08/0 |
| uel oil emissions factors, | | | | | |

| | ada 205400 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------------|------------|------------|----------|
| McFarland Case | ade - 205108 | | | | | | | |
| Emission Detail | Sheets | | | | | | | |
| Treatment Plan | Calculations | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Treatment Plant | Details | | | | | | | |
| Total Wood Treated = | | 6,000,000 | cubic feet/year | | | | | |
| Total Preservative Used = | | 5,100,000 | gal/year | | | | | |
| Totals below eac | ch calculated using the | total wood and p | preservative used an | nounts | | | | |
| DCOI** Treatme | nt | | | | | | | |
| Pollutant | EF | PTE (TPY) | | | | | | |
| VOC | Material Balance* | 6.7 | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | Material Balance* | 0.039 | | | | | | |
| Includes treating | | | | | | | | |
| | g cynnuers, wurk lanks | and storage tank | is. Other sources not | included. | | | | |
| | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 | | | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octy | | -Dichloro-2-n-oct | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octy | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 | -Dichloro-2-n-oct | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o nate (CuNap) Treatmer | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "l nt | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I nt PTE (TPY) | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c | | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "l nt PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". | one, CAS # 643 | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o nate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". | one, CAS # 643 | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". | one, CAS # 643 | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I nt PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "I | cyl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". | included. | 59-81-2) | | | |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f *All emissions a | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 from the fuel/carrier o hate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks from the fuel/carrier o | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "l nt PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "l mission estimatic | yl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". s. Other sources not ess than 1%". on tools/software/w | included. | | timate the | vapor mass | fraction |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f *All emissions a and are based of | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 from the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks from the fuel/carrier o re from the facility's en h EPA AP-42 Chapter 7, | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "I mission estimatic Liquid Storage Ta | cyl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". cs. Other sources not ess than 1%". on tools/software/w anks and the use of l | included. orkshseets iquid mass fra | actions to es | timate the | vapor mass | fraction |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f *All emissions a and are based of | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 from the fuel/carrier o nate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks from the fuel/carrier o re from the facility's en | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "I mission estimatic Liquid Storage Ta | cyl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". cs. Other sources not ess than 1%". on tools/software/w anks and the use of l | included. orkshseets iquid mass fra | actions to es | timate the | vapor mass | fraction |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f *All emissions a and are based of Fugitive emissio | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 rom the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks rom the fuel/carrier o re from the facility's en h EPA AP-42 Chapter 7, ns from treated storage | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "I mission estimatic Liquid Storage Ta | cyl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". cs. Other sources not ess than 1%". on tools/software/w anks and the use of l | included. orkshseets iquid mass fra | actions to es | timate the | vapor mass | fraction |
| **Dichloro-octyl Naphthalene is f Copper Napther Pollutant VOC Naphthalene Includes treating Naphthalene is f *All emissions a and are based of Fugitive emissio | -isothiazonlinone (4,5 from the fuel/carrier o ate (CuNap) Treatmer EF Material Balance* Material Balance* g cylinders, work tanks from the fuel/carrier o re from the facility's en h EPA AP-42 Chapter 7, | -Dichloro-2-n-oct il. SDS listed as "I PTE (TPY) 5.6 0.033 and storage tank il. SDS listed as "I mission estimatic Liquid Storage Ta | cyl-4-isothiazolin-3-c ess than 1%". cs. Other sources not ess than 1%". on tools/software/w anks and the use of l | included. orkshseets iquid mass fra | actions to es | timate the | vapor mass | fraction |

| | ascade - 20510 | B | | | | | | | _ |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Emission Det | ail Sheets | | | | | | | | |
| Unpaved Roa | d Emission Ca | alculations | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| VMT and Unp | aved Roads | | | | | | | | |
| Insignificant Emission Unit - Unpaved Roads | | (Updated with 20 | 020 Renewal) | | | | | | |
| PM | 0.30 | tons/year | | | | | | | |
| PM10 | 0.09 | tons/year | | | | | | | |
| PM2.5 | 0.01 | tons/year | | | | | | | |
| Vehicles | VMT/year | | | | | | | | - |
| Trucks | 500 | <mark>)</mark> Update based | d on facility estin | nation | | | | | |
| Unpaved Roa | d Dust Emissi | on Factor Calc | ulationAP-42 1 | 3.2.2 11/06 | | | | | |
| VMT - Loade | rs | | | | | | | | |
| | k (Ib/VMT) | s(%) | С | а | b | W | E (uncorrected) | E (Corrected)* | |
| PM-30 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 0.00047 | 1.0 | 0.45 | 5.0 | 2.06 | 1.21 | |
| PM10 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 0.00047 | 1.0 | 0.45 | 5.0 | 0.63 | 0.37 | |
| PM2.5 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.00036 | 1.0 | 0.45 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 0.04 | |
| *Corrected for | number of days | s with at least 0. | 254 mm of precip | ation per year, I | P =150 based | on Figure 13. | 2.2-1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | unpaved roads | | | | | | | | |